

Axions (A^0) and Other Very Light Bosons, Searches for

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

A^0 (Axion) MASS LIMITS from Astrophysics and Cosmology

These bounds depend on model-dependent assumptions (i.e. — on a combination of axion parameters).

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
>0.2		BARROSO	82	ASTR Standard Axion
>0.25	¹	RAFFELT	82	ASTR Standard Axion
>0.2	²	DICUS	78C	ASTR Standard Axion
		MIKHAELIAN	78	ASTR Stellar emission
>0.3	²	SATO	78	ASTR Standard Axion
>0.2		VYSOTSKII	78	ASTR Standard Axion

¹ Lower bound from 5.5 MeV γ -ray line from the sun.

² Lower bound from requiring the red giants' stellar evolution not be disrupted by axion emission.

NODE=S029

NODE=S029

NODE=S029AXA

NODE=S029AXA

NODE=S029AXA

NODE=S029AXA;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S029AXA;LINKAGE=A

A^0 (Axion) and Other Light Boson (X^0) Searches in Hadron Decays

Limits are for branching ratios.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<2 \times 10^{-15}$	90	¹ GNINENKO	12A BDMP	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$
$<3 \times 10^{-14}$	90	² GNINENKO	12B BDMP	$\eta(\eta') \rightarrow \gamma X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$
$<7 \times 10^{-10}$	90	³ ADLER	04 B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$
$<7.3 \times 10^{-11}$	90	⁴ ANISIMOVSK...	04 B949	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$
$<4.5 \times 10^{-11}$	90	⁵ ADLER	02C B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$
$<4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	⁶ ADLER	01 B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 A^0$
$<4.9 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AMMAR	01B CLEO	$B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm (K^\pm) X^0$
$<5.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AMMAR	01B CLEO	$B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 X^0$
$<3.3 \times 10^{-5}$	90	ALTEGOER	98 NOMD	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{X^0} < 120$ MeV
$<5.0 \times 10^{-8}$	90	KITCHING	97 B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$
$<5.2 \times 10^{-10}$	90	ADLER	96 B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$
$<2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AMSLER	96B CBAR	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{X^0} < 65$ MeV
$<3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	AMSLER	96B CBAR	$\eta \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{X^0} = 50\text{--}200$ MeV
$<4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AMSLER	96B CBAR	$\eta' \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{X^0} = 50\text{--}925$ MeV
$<6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AMSLER	94B CBAR	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{X^0} = 65\text{--}125$ MeV
$<6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	AMSLER	94B CBAR	$\eta \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{X^0} = 200\text{--}525$ MeV
$<7 \times 10^{-3}$	90	MEIJERDREES	94 CNTR	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{X^0} = 25$ MeV
$<2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	MEIJERDREES	94 CNTR	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0, m_{X^0} = 100$ MeV
$<2 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ATIYA	93B B787	Sup. by ADLER 04
$<3 \times 10^{-13}$	90	NG	93 COSM	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$
$<1.1 \times 10^{-8}$	90	ALLIEGRO	92 SPEC	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$
$<5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	ATIYA	92 B787	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$
$<4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	MEIJERDREES	92 SPEC	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0, X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-, m_{X^0} = 100$ MeV
$<1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	ATIYA	90B B787	Sup. by KITCHING 97
$<1.3 \times 10^{-8}$	90	KORENCH...	87 SPEC	$\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu A^0 (A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$
$<1 \times 10^{-9}$	90	EICHLER	86 SPEC	Stopped $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu A^0$
$<2 \times 10^{-5}$	90	YAMAZAKI	84 SPEC	For $160 < m < 260$ MeV
$<(1.5\text{--}4) \times 10^{-6}$	90	YAMAZAKI	84 SPEC	K decay, $m_{X^0} \ll 100$ MeV
		ASANO	82 CNTR	Stopped $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$
		ASANO	81B CNTR	Stopped $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$
		ZHITNITSKII	79	Heavy axion

NODE=S029AD2

NODE=S029AD2

NODE=S029AD2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

- 1 This limit is for $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow g X^0) \cdot B(X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$ and applies for $m_{X^0} = 90$ MeV and $\tau_{X^0} \simeq 10^{-8}$ sec. Limits between 10^{-8} and 2×10^{-15} are obtained for $m_{X^0} = 3\text{--}120$ MeV and $\tau_{X^0} = 10^{-11}\text{--}1$ sec. See their Fig. 3 for limits at different masses and lifetimes.
- 2 This limit is for $B(\eta \rightarrow g X^0) \cdot B(X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$ and applies for $m_{X^0} = 100$ MeV and $\tau_{X^0} \simeq 6 \times 10^{-9}$ sec. Limits between 10^{-5} and 3×10^{-14} are obtained for $m_{X^0} \lesssim 550$ MeV and $\tau_{X^0} = 10^{-10}\text{--}10$ sec. See their Fig. 5 for limits at different mass and lifetime and for η' decays.
- 3 This limit applies for a mass near 180 MeV. For other masses in the range $m_{X^0} = 150\text{--}250$ MeV the limit is less restrictive, but still improves ADLER 02C and ATIYA 93B.
- 4 ANISIMOVSKY 04 bound is for $m_{X^0}=0$.
- 5 ADLER 02C bound is for $m_{X^0} < 60$ MeV. See Fig. 2 for limits at higher masses.
- 6 The quoted limit is for $m_{X^0} = 0\text{--}80$ MeV. See their Fig. 5 for the limit at higher mass. The branching fraction limit assumes pure phase space decay distributions.
- 7 ALTEGOER 98 looked for X^0 from π^0 decay which penetrate the shielding and convert to π^0 in the external Coulomb field of a nucleus.
- 8 KITCHING 97 limit is for $B(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0) \cdot B(X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ and applies for $m_{X^0} \simeq 50$ MeV, $\tau_{X^0} < 10^{-10}$ s. Limits are provided for $0 < m_{X^0} < 100$ MeV, $\tau_{X^0} < 10^{-8}$ s.
- 9 ADLER 96 looked for a peak in missing-mass distribution. This work is an update of ATIYA 93. The limit is for massless stable X^0 particles and extends to $m_{X^0}=80$ MeV at the same level. See paper for dependence on finite lifetime.
- 10 AMSLER 94B and AMSLER 96B looked for a peak in missing-mass distribution.
- 11 The MEIJERDREES 94 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of X^0 decay modes. It applies to $\tau(X^0) > 10^{-23}$ sec.
- 12 ATIYA 93B looked for a peak in missing mass distribution. The bound applies for stable X^0 of $m_{X^0}=150\text{--}250$ MeV, and the limit becomes stronger (10^{-8}) for $m_{X^0}=180\text{--}240$ MeV.
- 13 NG 93 studied the production of X^0 via $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$ in the early universe at $T \simeq 1$ MeV. The bound on extra neutrinos from nucleosynthesis $\Delta N_\nu < 0.3$ (WALKER 91) is employed. It applies to $m_{X^0} \ll 1$ MeV in order to be relativistic down to nucleosynthesis temperature. See paper for heavier X^0 .
- 14 ALLIEGRO 92 limit applies for $m_{X^0}=150\text{--}340$ MeV and is the branching ratio times the decay probability. Limit is $< 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ at 99%CL.
- 15 ATIYA 92 looked for a peak in missing mass distribution. The limit applies to $m_{X^0}=0\text{--}130$ MeV in the narrow resonance limit. See paper for the dependence on lifetime. Covariance requires X^0 to be a vector particle.
- 16 MEIJERDREES 92 limit applies for $\tau_{X^0} = 10^{-23}\text{--}10^{-11}$ sec. Limits between 2×10^{-4} and 4×10^{-6} are obtained for $m_{X^0} = 25\text{--}120$ MeV. Angular momentum conservation requires that X^0 has spin ≥ 1 .
- 17 ATIYA 90B limit is for $B(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0) \cdot B(X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ and applies for $m_{X^0} = 50$ MeV, $\tau_{X^0} < 10^{-10}$ s. Limits are also provided for $0 < m_{X^0} < 100$ MeV, $\tau_{X^0} < 10^{-8}$ s.
- 18 KORENCHENKO 87 limit assumes $m_{A^0} = 1.7$ MeV, $\tau_{A^0} \lesssim 10^{-12}$ s, and $B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 1$.
- 19 EICHLER 86 looked for $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu A^0$ followed by $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$. Limits on the branching fraction depend on the mass and and lifetime of A^0 . The quoted limits are valid when $\tau(A^0) \gtrsim 3 \times 10^{-10}$ s if the decays are kinematically allowed.
- 20 YAMAZAKI 84 looked for a discrete line in $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X$. Sensitive to wide mass range (5–300 MeV), independent of whether X decays promptly or not.
- 21 ASANO 82 at KEK set limits for $B(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0)$ for $m_{X^0} < 100$ MeV as $BR < 4 \times 10^{-8}$ for $\tau(X^0 \rightarrow n\gamma)$'s $> 1 \times 10^{-9}$ s, $BR < 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ for $\tau < 1 \times 10^{-9}$ s.
- 22 ASANO 81B is KEK experiment. Set $B(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0) < 3.8 \times 10^{-8}$ at CL = 90%.
- 23 ZHITNITSKII 79 argue that a heavy axion predicted by YANG 78 ($3 < m < 40$ MeV) contradicts experimental muon anomalous magnetic moments.

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=GN

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=GI

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=LC

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=M

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=TK

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=K4

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=K

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=L

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=I2

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=J

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=F

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=H

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=E

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=K2

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=T

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=R

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=G

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S029AD2;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S029AXQ

NODE=S029AXQ

NODE=S029AXQ

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

A^0 (Axion) Searches in Quarkonium Decays

Decay or transition of quarkonium. Limits are for branching ratio.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	1 DRUZHININ	87	ND $\phi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$
$<2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	2 DRUZHININ	87	ND $\phi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$
$<7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	3 DRUZHININ	87	ND $\phi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow \text{missing})$
$<1.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	4 EDWARDS	82	CBAL $J/\psi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$

- ¹The first DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when $\tau_{A^0}/m_{A^0} < 3 \times 10^{-13}$ s/MeV and $m_{A^0} < 20$ MeV.
- ²The second DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when $\tau_{A^0}/m_{A^0} < 5 \times 10^{-13}$ s/MeV and $m_{A^0} < 20$ MeV.
- ³The third DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when $\tau_{A^0}/m_{A^0} > 7 \times 10^{-12}$ s/MeV and $m_{A^0} < 200$ MeV.
- ⁴EDWARDS 82 looked for $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma A^0$ decays by looking for events with a single γ [of energy $\sim 1/2$ the $J/\psi(1S)$ mass], plus nothing else in the detector. The limit is inconsistent with the axion interpretation of the FAISSNER 81B result.

A⁰ (Axion) Searches in Positronium Decays

Decay or transition of positronium. Limits are for branching ratio.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<4.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹ BADERT...	02 CNTR	$\text{o-Ps} \rightarrow \gamma X_1 X_2, m_{X_1} + m_{X_2} \leq 900 \text{ keV}$
$<2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	MAENO	95 CNTR	$\text{o-Ps} \rightarrow A^0 \gamma, m_{A^0} = 850\text{--}1013 \text{ keV}$
$<3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	90	² ASAI	94 CNTR	$\text{o-Ps} \rightarrow A^0 \gamma, m_{A^0} = 30\text{--}500 \text{ keV}$
$<2.8 \times 10^{-5}$	90	³ AKOPYAN	91 CNTR	$\text{o-Ps} \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma), m_{A^0} < 30 \text{ keV}$
$<1.1 \times 10^{-6}$	90	⁴ ASAI	91 CNTR	$\text{o-Ps} \rightarrow A^0 \gamma, m_{A^0} < 800 \text{ keV}$
$<3.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	GNINENKO	90 CNTR	$\text{o-Ps} \rightarrow A^0 \gamma, m_{A^0} < 30 \text{ keV}$
$<(1\text{--}5) \times 10^{-4}$	95	⁵ TSUCHIAKI	90 CNTR	$\text{o-Ps} \rightarrow A^0 \gamma, m_{A^0} = 300\text{--}900 \text{ keV}$
$<6.4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	⁶ ORITO	89 CNTR	$\text{o-Ps} \rightarrow A^0 \gamma, m_{A^0} < 30 \text{ keV}$
		⁷ AMALDI	85 CNTR	Ortho-positronium
		⁸ CARBONI	83 CNTR	Ortho-positronium

¹BADERTSCHER 02 looked for a three-body decay of ortho-positronium into a photon and two penetrating (neutral or milli-charged) particles.

²The ASAI 94 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of A^0 decay modes.

³The AKOPYAN 91 limit applies for a short-lived A^0 with $\tau_{A^0} < 10^{-13} m_{A^0}$ [keV] s.

⁴ASAI 91 limit translates to $g_{A^0 e^+ e^-}^2 / 4\pi < 1.1 \times 10^{-11}$ (90% CL) for $m_{A^0} < 800$ keV.

⁵The TSUCHIAKI 90 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of A^0 decay modes.

⁶ORITO 89 limit translates to $g_{A^0 ee}^2 / 4\pi < 6.2 \times 10^{-10}$. Somewhat more sensitive limits are obtained for larger m_{A^0} : $B < 7.6 \times 10^{-6}$ at 100 keV.

⁷AMALDI 85 set limits $B(A^0 \gamma) / B(\gamma\gamma\gamma) < (1\text{--}5) \times 10^{-6}$ for $m_{A^0} = 900\text{--}100$ keV which are about 1/10 of the CARBONI 83 limits.

⁸CARBONI 83 looked for orthopositronium $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$. Set limit for A^0 electron coupling squared, $g(eeA^0)^2/(4\pi) < 6. \times 10^{-10}\text{--}7. \times 10^{-9}$ for m_{A^0} from 150–900 keV (CL = 99.7%). This is about 1/10 of the bound from $g-2$ experiments.

NODE=S029AXQ;LINKAGE=E1

NODE=S029AXQ;LINKAGE=F1

NODE=S029AXQ;LINKAGE=H1

NODE=S029AXQ;LINKAGE=J

NODE=S029AXD

NODE=S029AXD

NODE=S029AXD

NODE=S029AXD;LINKAGE=DB

NODE=S029AXD;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S029AXD;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S029AXD;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=S029AXD;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S029AXD;LINKAGE=GX

NODE=S029AXD;LINKAGE=AM

NODE=S029AXD;LINKAGE=O

NODE=S029AXG

NODE=S029AXG

NODE=S029AXG;LINKAGE=BB

NODE=S029AXP

NODE=S029AXP

NODE=S029AXP

A⁰ (Axion) Search in Photoproduction

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		

¹BASSOMPIERRE 95 $m_{A^0} = 1.8 \pm 0.2$ MeV

¹BASSOMPIERRE 95 is an extension of BASSOMPIERRE 93. They looked for a peak in the invariant mass of $e^+ e^-$ pairs in the region $m_{e^+ e^-} = 1.8 \pm 0.2$ MeV. They obtained bounds on the production rate A^0 for $\tau(A^0) = 10^{-18}\text{--}10^{-9}$ sec. They also found an excess of events in the range $m_{e^+ e^-} = 2.1\text{--}3.5$ MeV.

A⁰ (Axion) Production in Hadron Collisions

Limits are for $\sigma(A^0) / \sigma(\pi^0)$.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					

			1 JAIN	07	CNTR	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
			2 AHMAD	97	SPEC	e^+ production		
			3 LEINBERGER	97	SPEC	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
			4 GANZ	96	SPEC	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
			5 KAMEL	96	EMUL	^{32}S emulsion, $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
			6 BLUEMLEIN	92	BDMP	$A^0 N_Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- N_Z$		
			7 MEIJERDREES	92	SPEC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n A^0, A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
			8 BLUEMLEIN	91	BDMP	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-, 2\gamma$		
			9 FAISSNER	89	OSPK	Beam dump,		
			10 DEBOER	88	RVUE	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
			11 EL-NADI	88	EMUL	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
			12 FAISSNER	88	OSPK	Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$		
			13 BADIER	86	BDMP	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
			14 BERGSMA	85	CHRM	CERN beam dump		
			14 BERGSMA	85	CHRM	CERN beam dump	OCCUR=2	
			15 FAISSNER	83	OSPK	Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$		
			16 FAISSNER	83B	RVUE	LAMPF beam dump		
			17 FRANK	83B	RVUE	LAMPF beam dump		
			18 HOFFMAN	83	CNTR	$\pi p \rightarrow n A^0$ ($A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$)		
			19 FETSCHER	82	RVUE	See FAISSNER 81B		
			20 FAISSNER	81	OSPK	CERN PS ν wideband		
			21 FAISSNER	81B	OSPK	Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$		
			8 KIM	81	OSPK	26 GeV $pN \rightarrow A^0 X$		
			0 FAISSNER	80	OSPK	Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
			24 JACQUES	80	HLBC	28 GeV protons		
			24 JACQUES	80	HLBC	Beam dump	OCCUR=2	
			25 SOUKAS	80	CALO	28 GeV p beam dump		
			26 BECHIS	79	CNTR			
			27 COTEUS	79	OSPK	Beam dump		
			28 DISHAW	79	CALO	400 GeV $p p$		
			ALIBRAN	78	HYBR	Beam dump		
			ASRATYAN	78B	CALO	Beam dump		
			29 BELLOTTI	78	HLBC	Beam dump		
			29 BELLOTTI	78	HLBC	$m_{A^0}=1.5$ MeV	OCCUR=2	
			29 BELLOTTI	78	HLBC	$m_{A^0}=1$ MeV	OCCUR=3	
			30 BOSETTI	78B	HYBR	Beam dump		
			31 DONNELLY	78				
			HANSI	78D	WIRE	Beam dump		
			32 MICELMAC...	78				
			33 VYSOTSKII	78				

1 JAIN 07 claims evidence for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ produced in ^{207}Pb collision on nuclear emulsion (Ag/Br) for $m(A^0) = 7 \pm 1$ or 19 ± 1 MeV and $\tau(A^0) \leq 10^{-13}$ s.

2 AHMAD 97 reports a result of APEX Collaboration which studied positron production in $^{238}\text{U} + ^{232}\text{Ta}$ and $^{238}\text{U} + ^{181}\text{Ta}$ collisions, without requiring a coincident electron. No narrow lines were found for $250 < E_{e^+} < 750$ keV.

3 LEINBERGER 97 (ORANGE Collaboration) at GSI looked for a narrow sum-energy $e^+ e^-$ -line at ~ 635 keV in $^{238}\text{U} + ^{181}\text{Ta}$ collision. Limits on the production probability for a narrow sum-energy $e^+ e^-$ line are set. See their Table 2.

4 GANZ 96 (EPos II Collaboration) has placed upper bounds on the production cross section of $e^+ e^-$ pairs from $^{238}\text{U} + ^{181}\text{Ta}$ and $^{238}\text{U} + ^{232}\text{Th}$ collisions at GSI. See Table 2 for limits both for back-to-back and isotropic configurations of $e^+ e^-$ pairs. These limits rule out the existence of peaks in the $e^+ e^-$ sum-energy distribution, reported by an earlier version of this experiment.

5 KAMEL 96 looked for $e^+ e^-$ pairs from the collision of ^{32}S (200 GeV/nucleon) and emulsion. No evidence of mass peaks is found in the region of sensitivity $m_{ee} > 2$ MeV.

6 BLUEMLEIN 92 is a proton beam dump experiment at Serpukhov with a secondary target to induce Bethe-Heitler production of $e^+ e^-$ or $\mu^+ \mu^-$ from the produce A^0 . See Fig. 5 for the excluded region in m_{A^0-X} plane. For the standard axion, $0.3 < x < 25$ is excluded at 95% CL. If combined with BLUEMLEIN 91, $0.008 < x < 32$ is excluded.

7 MEIJERDREES 92 give $\Gamma(\pi^- p \rightarrow n A^0) \cdot B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma(\pi^- p \rightarrow \text{all}) < 10^{-5}$ (90% CL) for $m_{A^0} = 100$ MeV, $\tau_{A^0} = 10^{-11} - 10^{-23}$ sec. Limits ranging from 2.5×10^{-3} to 10^{-7} are given for $m_{A^0} = 25 - 136$ MeV.

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=JA

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=LA

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=LL

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=G1

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=KL

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE>NN

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=Z

- ⁸ BLUEMLEIN 91 is a proton beam dump experiment at Serpukhov. No candidate event for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$, 2γ are found. Fig. 6 gives the excluded region in m_{A^0} - x plane ($x = \tan\beta = v_2/v_1$). Standard axion is excluded for $0.2 < m_{A^0} < 3.2$ MeV for most $x > 1$, $0.2\text{--}11$ MeV for most $x < 1$.
- ⁹ FAISSNER 89 searched for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ in a proton beam dump experiment at SIN. No excess of events was observed over the background. A standard axion with mass $2m_{e^-} - 20$ MeV is excluded. Lower limit on f_{A^0} of $\simeq 10^4$ GeV is given for $m_{A^0} = 2m_{e^-} - 20$ MeV.
- ¹⁰ DEBOER 88 reanalyze EL-NADI 88 data and claim evidence for three distinct states with mass ~ 1.1 , ~ 2.1 , and ~ 9 MeV, lifetimes $10^{-16}\text{--}10^{-15}$ s decaying to $e^+ e^-$ and note the similarity of the data with those of a cosmic-ray experiment by Bristol group (B.M. Anand, Proc. of the Royal Society of London, Section A **A22** 183 (1953)). For a criticism see PERKINS 89, who suggests that the events are compatible with π^0 Dalitz decay. DEBOER 89B is a reply which contests the criticism.
- ¹¹ EL-NADI 88 claim the existence of a neutral particle decaying into $e^+ e^-$ with mass 1.60 ± 0.59 MeV, lifetime $(0.15 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-14}$ s, which is produced in heavy ion interactions with emulsion nuclei at ~ 4 GeV/c/nucleon.
- ¹² FAISSNER 88 is a proton beam dump experiment at SIN. They found no candidate event for $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. A standard axion decaying to 2γ is excluded except for a region $x \simeq 1$. Lower limit on f_{A^0} of $10^2\text{--}10^3$ GeV is given for $m_{A^0} = 0.1\text{--}1$ MeV.
- ¹³ BADIER 86 did not find long-lived A^0 in 300 GeV π^- Beam Dump Experiment that decays into $e^+ e^-$ in the mass range $m_{A^0} = (20\text{--}200)$ MeV, which excludes the A^0 decay constant $f(A^0)$ in the interval (60–600) GeV. See their figure 6 for excluded region on $f(A^0)$ - m_{A^0} plane.
- ¹⁴ BERGSMA 85 look for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$, $e^+ e^-$, $\mu^+ \mu^-$. First limit above is for $m_{A^0} = 1$ MeV; second is for 200 MeV. See their figure 4 for excluded region on f_{A^0} - m_{A^0} plane, where f_{A^0} is A^0 decay constant. For Peccei-Quinn PECCEI 77 A^0 , $m_{A^0} < 180$ keV and $\tau > 0.037$ s. (CL = 90%). For the axion of FAISSNER 81B at 250 keV, BERGSMA 85 expect 15 events but observe zero.
- ¹⁵ FAISSNER 83 observed 19 1γ and 12 2γ events where a background of 4.8 and 2.3 respectively is expected. A small-angle peak is observed even if iron wall is set in front of the decay region.
- ¹⁶ FAISSNER 83B extrapolate SIN γ signal to LAMPF ν experimental condition. Resulting 370 γ 's are not at variance with LAMPF upper limit of 450 γ 's. Derived from LAMPF limit that $[\sigma(A^0)/d\omega \text{ at } 90^\circ] m_{A^0}/\tau_{A^0} < 14 \times 10^{-35} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ MeV ms}^{-1}$. See comment on FRANK 83B.
- ¹⁷ FRANK 83B stress the importance of LAMPF data bins with negative net signal. By statistical analysis say that LAMPF and SIN-A0 are at variance when extrapolation by phase-space model is done. They find LAMPF upper limit is 248 not 450 γ 's. See comment on FAISSNER 83B.
- ¹⁸ HOFFMAN 83 set CL = 90% limit $d\sigma/dt B(e^+ e^-) < 3.5 \times 10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2/\text{GeV}^2$ for $140 < m_{A^0} < 160$ MeV. Limit assumes $\tau(A^0) < 10^{-9}$ s.
- ¹⁹ FETSCHER 82 reanalyzes SIN beam-dump data of FAISSNER 81. Claims no evidence for axion since 2γ peak rate remarkably decreases if iron wall is set in front of the decay region.
- ²⁰ FAISSNER 81 see excess μe events. Suggest axion interactions.
- ²¹ FAISSNER 81B is SIN 590 MeV proton beam dump. Observed 14.5 ± 5.0 events of 2γ decay of long-lived neutral penetrating particle with $m_{2\gamma} \lesssim 1$ MeV. Axion interpretation with η - A^0 mixing gives $m_{A^0} = 250 \pm 25$ keV, $\tau_{(2\gamma)} = (7.3 \pm 3.7) \times 10^{-3}$ s from above rate. See critical remarks below in comments of FETSCHER 82, FAISSNER 83, FAISSNER 83B, FRANK 83B, and BERGSMA 85. Also see in the next subsection ALEKSEEV 82B, CAVAGNAC 83, and ANANEV 85.
- ²² KIM 81 analyzed 8 candidates for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ obtained by Aachen-Padova experiment at CERN with 26 GeV protons on Be. Estimated axion mass is about 300 keV and lifetime is $(0.86 \sim 5.6) \times 10^{-3}$ s depending on models. Faissner (private communication), says axion production underestimated and mass overestimated. Correct value around 200 keV.
- ²³ FAISSNER 80 is SIN beam dump experiment with 590 MeV protons looking for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ decay. Assuming $A^0/\pi^0 = 5.5 \times 10^{-7}$, obtained decay rate limit $20/(A^0 \text{ mass}) \text{ MeV/s}$ (CL = 90%), which is about 10^{-7} below theory and interpreted as upper limit to $m_{A^0} < 2m_{e^-}$.
- ²⁴ JACQUES 80 is a BNL beam dump experiment. First limit above comes from nonobservation of excess neutral-current-type events $[\sigma(\text{production})\sigma(\text{interaction}) < 7. \times 10^{-68} \text{ cm}^4]$, CL = 90%. Second limit is from nonobservation of axion decays into 2γ 's or $e^+ e^-$, and for axion mass a few MeV.
- ²⁵ SOUKAS 80 at BNL observed no excess of neutral-current-type events in beam dump.
- ²⁶ BECHIS 79 looked for the axion production in low energy electron Bremsstrahlung and the subsequent decay into either 2γ or $e^+ e^-$. No signal found. CL = 90% limits for model parameter(s) are given.
- ²⁷ COTEUS 79 is a beam dump experiment at BNL.

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=A2

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=F2

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=X

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=W

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=F1

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=T

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=P

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=Q

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=R

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=S

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=O

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=L

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=M

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=N

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=I

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=J

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=K

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=F

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=G

- 28 DISHAW 79 is a calorimetric experiment and looks for low energy tail of energy distributions due to energy lost to weakly interacting particles.
- 29 BELLOTTI 78 first value comes from search for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$. Second value comes from search for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$, assuming mass $< 2m_e$. For any mass satisfying this, limit is above value $\times (\text{mass}^{-4})$. Third value uses data of PL 60B 401 and quotes $\sigma(\text{production})\sigma(\text{interaction}) < 10^{-67} \text{ cm}^4$.
- 30 BOSETTI 78B quotes $\sigma(\text{production})\sigma(\text{interaction}) < 2 \times 10^{-67} \text{ cm}^4$.
- 31 DONNELLY 78 examines data from reactor neutrino experiments of REINES 76 and GURR 74 as well as SLAC beam dump experiment. Evidence is negative.
- 32 MICELMACHER 78 finds no evidence of axion existence in reactor experiments of REINES 76 and GURR 74. (See reference under DONNELLY 78 below).
- 33 VYSOTSKII 78 derived lower limit for the axion mass 25 keV from luminosity of the sun and 200 keV from red supergiants.

A^0 (Axion) Searches in Reactor Experiments

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1	CHANG	07	Primakoff or Compton
2	ALTMANN	95	CNTR Reactor; $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
3	KETOV	86	SPEC Reactor, $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
4	KOCH	86	SPEC Reactor; $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
5	DATAR	82	CNTR Light water reactor
6	VUILLEUMIER	81	CNTR Reactor, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$

1 CHANG 07 looked for monochromatic photons from Primakoff or Compton conversion of axions from the Kuo-Sheng reactor due to axion coupling to photon or electron, respectively. The search places model-independent limits on the products $G_{A\gamma\gamma} G_{ANN}$ and $G_{Aee} G_{ANN}$ for $m(A^0)$ less than the MeV range.

2 ALTMANN 95 looked for A^0 decaying into $e^+ e^-$ from the Bugey 5 nuclear reactor. They obtain an upper limit on the A^0 production rate of $\omega(A^0)/\omega(\gamma) \times B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) < 10^{-16}$ for $m_{A^0} = 1.5$ MeV at 90% CL. The limit is weaker for heavier A^0 . In the case of a standard axion, this limit excludes a mass in the range $2m_e < m_{A^0} < 4.8$ MeV at 90% CL. See Fig. 5 of their paper for exclusion limits of axion-like resonances Z^0 in the (m_{X^0}, f_{X^0}) plane.

3 KETOV 86 searched for A^0 at the Rovno nuclear power plant. They found an upper limit on the A^0 production probability of $0.8 [100 \text{ keV}/m_{A^0}]^6 \times 10^{-6}$ per fission. In the standard axion model, this corresponds to $m_{A^0} > 150$ keV. Not valid for $m_{A^0} \gtrsim 1$ MeV.

4 KOCH 86 searched for $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ at nuclear power reactor Biblis A. They found an upper limit on the A^0 production rate of $\omega(A^0)/\omega(\gamma(M1)) < 1.5 \times 10^{-10}$ (CL=95%). Standard axion with $m_{A^0} = 250$ keV gives 10^{-5} for the ratio. Not valid for $m_{A^0} > 1022$ keV.

5 DATAR 82 looked for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ in neutron capture ($np \rightarrow dA^0$) at Tarapur 500 MW reactor. Sensitive to sum of $I = 0$ and $I = 1$ amplitudes. With ZEHNDER 81 [$(I = 0) - (I = 1)$] result, assert nonexistence of standard A^0 .

6 VUILLEUMIER 81 is at Grenoble reactor. Set limit $m_{A^0} < 280$ keV.

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=H

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S029AXP;LINKAGE=E

NODE=S029AXR

NODE=S029AXR

NODE=S029AXR;LINKAGE=CH

NODE=S029AXR;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S029AXR;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S029AXR;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S029AXR;LINKAGE=I

NODE=S029AXR;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S029ANT

NODE=S029ANT

NODE=S029ANT

A^0 (Axion) and Other Light Boson (X^0) Searches in Nuclear Transitions

Limits are for branching ratio.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 8.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	1 DERBIN	02	CNTR ^{125}Te decay
		2 DEBOER	97C	RVUE M1 transitions
$< 5.5 \times 10^{-10}$	95	3 TSUNODA	95	CNTR ^{252}Cf fission, $A^0 \rightarrow ee$
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$	95	4 MINOWA	93	CNTR $^{139}\text{La}^* \rightarrow ^{139}\text{La} A^0$
$< 2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	5 HICKS	92	CNTR ^{35}S decay, $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-9}$	95	6 ASANUMA	90	CNTR ^{241}Am decay
$<(0.4-10) \times 10^{-3}$	95	7 DEBOER	90	CNTR $^{8}\text{Be}^* \rightarrow ^{8}\text{Be} A^0$, $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
$<(0.2-1) \times 10^{-3}$	90	8 BINI	89	CNTR $^{16}\text{O}^* \rightarrow ^{16}\text{O} X^0$, $X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
		9 AVIGNONE	88	CNTR $\text{Cu}^* \rightarrow \text{Cu} A^0$ ($A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$, $A^0 e \rightarrow \gamma e$, $A^0 Z \rightarrow \gamma Z$)
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	10 DATAR	88	CNTR $^{12}\text{C}^* \rightarrow ^{12}\text{CA}^0$, $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
$< 5 \times 10^{-3}$	90	11 DEBOER	88C	CNTR $^{16}\text{O}^* \rightarrow ^{16}\text{OX}^0$, $X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$

- 17 CAVIGNAC 83 at Bugey reactor exclude axion at any m_{A^0} and axion with m_{A^0} between 275 and 288 keV (deuteron* decay).
- 18 ALEKSEEV 82 with IBR-2 pulsed reactor exclude standard A^0 at CL = 95% mass-ranges $m_{A^0} < 400$ keV (Li* decay) and $330 \text{ keV} < m_{A^0} < 2.2 \text{ MeV}$. (deuteron* decay).
- 19 LEHMANN 82 obtained $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ rate $< 6.2 \times 10^{-5}/\text{s}$ (CL = 95%) excluding m_{A^0} between 100 and 1000 keV.
- 20 ZEHNDER 82 used Gosgen 2.8GW light-water reactor to check A^0 production. No 2γ peak in Li*, Nb* decay (both single p transition) nor in n capture (combined with previous Ba* negative result) rules out standard A^0 . Set limit $m_{A^0} < 60$ keV for any A^0 .
- 21 ZEHNDER 81 looked for $\text{Ba}^* \rightarrow A^0 \text{Ba}$ transition with $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$. Obtained 2γ coincidence rate $< 2.2 \times 10^{-5}/\text{s}$ (CL = 95%) excluding $m_{A^0} > 160$ keV (or 200 keV depending on Higgs mixing). However, see BARROSO 81.
- 22 CALAPRICE 79 saw no axion emission from excited states of carbon. Sensitive to axion mass between 1 and 15 MeV.

NODE=S029ANT;LINKAGE=P

NODE=S029ANT;LINKAGE=F

NODE=S029ANT;LINKAGE=K

NODE=S029ANT;LINKAGE=M

NODE=S029ANT;LINKAGE=E

NODE=S029ANT;LINKAGE=A

A^0 (Axion) Limits from Its Electron Coupling

Limits are for $\tau(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$.

VALUE (s)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
none 4×10^{-16} – 4.5×10^{-12}	90	¹ BROSS	91	BDMP $eN \rightarrow eA^0 N$ ($A^0 \rightarrow ee$)
		² GUO	90	BDMP $eN \rightarrow eA^0 N$ ($A^0 \rightarrow ee$)
		³ BJORKEN	88	CALO $A \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ or 2γ
		⁴ BLINOV	88	MD1 $ee \rightarrow eeA^0$ ($A^0 \rightarrow ee$)
none 1×10^{-14} – 1×10^{-10}	90	⁵ RIORDAN	87	BDMP $eN \rightarrow eA^0 N$ ($A^0 \rightarrow ee$)
none 1×10^{-14} – 1×10^{-11}	90	⁶ BROWN	86	BDMP $eN \rightarrow eA^0 N$ ($A^0 \rightarrow ee$)
none 6×10^{-14} – 9×10^{-11}	95	⁷ DAVIER	86	BDMP $eN \rightarrow eA^0 N$ ($A^0 \rightarrow ee$)
none 3×10^{-13} – 1×10^{-7}	90	⁸ KONAKA	86	BDMP $eN \rightarrow eA^0 N$ ($A^0 \rightarrow ee$)

¹ The listed BROSS 91 limit is for $m_{A^0} = 1.14$ MeV. $B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 1$ assumed. Excluded domain in the $\tau_{A^0-m_{A^0}}$ plane extends up to $m_{A^0} \approx 7$ MeV (see Fig. 5). Combining with electron $g-2$ constraint, axions coupling only to $e^+ e^-$ ruled out for $m_{A^0} < 4.8$ MeV (90% CL).

² GUO 90 use the same apparatus as BROWN 86 and improve the previous limit in the shorter lifetime region. Combined with $g-2$ constraint, axions coupling only to $e^+ e^-$ are ruled out for $m_{A^0} < 2.7$ MeV (90% CL).

³ BJORKEN 88 reports limits on axion parameters (f_A , m_A , τ_A) for $m_{A^0} < 200$ MeV from electron beam-dump experiment with production via Primakoff photoproduction, bremsstrahlung from electrons, and resonant annihilation of positrons on atomic electrons.

⁴ BLINOV 88 assume zero spin, $m = 1.8$ MeV and lifetime $< 5 \times 10^{-12}$ s and find $\Gamma(A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) < 2$ eV (CL=90%).

⁵ Assumes $A^0\gamma\gamma$ coupling is small and hence Primakoff production is small. Their figure 2 shows limits on axions for $m_{A^0} < 15$ MeV.

⁶ Uses electrons in hadronic showers from an incident 800 GeV proton beam. Limits for $m_{A^0} < 15$ MeV are shown in their figure 3.

⁷ $m_{A^0} = 1.8$ MeV assumed. The excluded domain in the $\tau_{A^0-m_{A^0}}$ plane extends up to $m_{A^0} \approx 14$ MeV, see their figure 4.

⁸ The limits are obtained from their figure 3. Also given is the limit on the $A^0\gamma\gamma-A^0e^+e^-$ coupling plane by assuming Primakoff production.

NODE=S029AXE

NODE=S029AXE

NODE=S029AXE

NODE=S029AXE;LINKAGE=G

NODE=S029AXE;LINKAGE=F

NODE=S029AXE;LINKAGE=V

NODE=S029AXE;LINKAGE=BL

NODE=S029AXE;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S029AXE;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S029AXE;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S029AXE;LINKAGE=B

Search for A^0 (Axion) Resonance in Bhabha Scattering

The limit is for $\Gamma(A^0)[B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)]^2$.

VALUE (10^{-3} eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-----------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

NODE=S029AEE

NODE=S029AEE

NODE=S029AEE

< 1.3	97	¹ HALLIN	92	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.75\text{--}1.88 \text{ MeV}$
none 0.0016–0.47	90	² HENDERSON	92C	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.5\text{--}1.86 \text{ MeV}$
< 2.0	90	³ WU	92	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.56\text{--}1.86 \text{ MeV}$
< 0.013	95	TSERTOS	91	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$
none 0.19–3.3	95	⁴ WIDMANN	91	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.78\text{--}1.92 \text{ MeV}$
< 5	97	BAUER	90	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$
none 0.09–1.5	95	⁵ JUDGE	90	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$, elastic
< 1.9	97	⁶ TSERTOS	89	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.82 \text{ MeV}$
<(10–40)	97	⁶ TSERTOS	89	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.51\text{--}1.65 \text{ MeV}$
<(1–2.5)	97	⁶ TSERTOS	89	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.80\text{--}1.86 \text{ MeV}$
< 31	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.646 \text{ MeV}$
< 94	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.726 \text{ MeV}$
< 23	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.782 \text{ MeV}$
< 19	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.837 \text{ MeV}$
< 3.8	97	⁷ TSERTOS	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$
		⁸ VANKLINKEN	88	CNTR	
		⁹ MAIER	87	CNTR	
<2500	90	MILLS	87	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.8 \text{ MeV}$
		¹⁰ VONWIMMER	87	CNTR	

¹ HALLIN 92 quote limits on lifetime, $8 \times 10^{-14}\text{--}5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ sec}$ depending on mass, assuming $B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 100\%$. They say that TSERTOS 91 overstate their sensitivity by a factor of 3.

² HENDERSON 92C exclude axion with lifetime $\tau_{A^0} = 1.4 \times 10^{-12}\text{--}4.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ s}$, assuming $B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 100\%$. HENDERSON 92C also exclude a vector boson with $\tau = 1.4 \times 10^{-12}\text{--}6.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ s}$.

³ WU 92 quote limits on lifetime $> 3.3 \times 10^{-13} \text{ s}$ assuming $B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 100\%$. They say that TSERTOS 89 overestimate the limit by a factor of $\pi/2$. WU 92 also quote a bound for vector boson, $\tau > 8.2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ s}$.

⁴ WIDMANN 91 bound applies exclusively to the case $B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = 1$, since the detection efficiency varies substantially as $\Gamma(A^0)_{\text{total}}$ changes. See their Fig. 6.

⁵ JUDGE 90 excludes an elastic pseudoscalar $e^+ e^-$ resonance for $4.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ s} < \tau(A^0) < 7.5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ s}$ (95% CL) at $m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$. Comparable limits can be set for $m_{A^0} = 1.776\text{--}1.856 \text{ MeV}$.

⁶ See also TSERTOS 88B in references.

⁷ The upper limit listed in TSERTOS 88 is too large by a factor of 4. See TSERTOS 88B, footnote 3.

⁸ VANKLINKEN 88 looked for relatively long-lived resonance ($\tau = 10^{-10}\text{--}10^{-12} \text{ s}$). The sensitivity is not sufficient to exclude such a narrow resonance.

⁹ MAIER 87 obtained limits $R\Gamma \lesssim 60 \text{ eV}$ (100 eV) at $m_{A^0} \simeq 1.64 \text{ MeV}$ (1.83 MeV) for energy resolution $\Delta E_{\text{cm}} \simeq 3 \text{ keV}$, where R is the resonance cross section normalized to that of Bhabha scattering, and $\Gamma = \Gamma_{ee}^2/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. For a discussion implying that $\Delta E_{\text{cm}} \simeq 10 \text{ keV}$, see TSERTOS 89.

¹⁰ VONWIMMERSPERG 87 measured Bhabha scattering for $E_{\text{cm}} = 1.37\text{--}1.86 \text{ MeV}$ and found a possible peak at 1.73 with $\int \sigma dE_{\text{cm}} = 14.5 \pm 6.8 \text{ keV}\cdot\text{b}$. For a comment and a reply, see VANKLINKEN 88B and VONWIMMERSPERG 88. Also see CONNELL 88.

NODE=S029AEE;LINKAGE=H

NODE=S029AEE;LINKAGE=K

NODE=S029AEE;LINKAGE=J

NODE=S029AEE;LINKAGE=I

NODE=S029AEE;LINKAGE=G

NODE=S029AEE;LINKAGE=F
NODE=S029AEE;LINKAGE=E

NODE=S029AEE;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S029AEE;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S029AEE;LINKAGE=C

Search for A^0 (Axion) Resonance in $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

The limit is for $\Gamma(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) \cdot \Gamma(A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (10^{-3} eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 0.18	95	VO	94	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.1 \text{ MeV}$
< 1.5	95	VO	94	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.4 \text{ MeV}$
<12	95	VO	94	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.7 \text{ MeV}$
< 6.6	95	¹ TRZASKA	91	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.8 \text{ MeV}$
< 4.4	95	WIDMANN	91	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.78\text{--}1.92 \text{ MeV}$
		² FOX	89	CNTR
< 0.11	95	³ MINOWA	89	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.062 \text{ MeV}$
<33	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.580 \text{ MeV}$
<42	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.642 \text{ MeV}$
<73	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.782 \text{ MeV}$
<79	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR $m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$

NODE=S029AEG

NODE=S029AEG

NODE=S029AEG

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

¹ TRZASKA 91 also give limits in the range $(6.6\text{--}30) \times 10^{-3}$ eV (95%CL) for $m_{A^0} = 1.6\text{--}2.0$ MeV.

² FOX 89 measured positron annihilation with an electron in the source material into two photons and found no signal at 1.062 MeV ($< 9 \times 10^{-5}$ of two-photon annihilation at rest).

³ Similar limits are obtained for $m_{A^0} = 1.045\text{--}1.085$ MeV.

NODE=S029AEG;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S029AEG;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S029AEG;LINKAGE=A

Search for X^0 (Light Boson) Resonance in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$

The limit is for $\Gamma(X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-) \cdot \Gamma(X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. C invariance forbids spin-0 X^0 coupling to both e^+e^- and $\gamma\gamma\gamma$.

VALUE (10^{-3} eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 0.2	95	¹ VO	94	CNTR $m_{X^0}=1.1\text{--}1.9$ MeV
< 1.0	95	² VO	94	CNTR $m_{X^0}=1.1$ MeV
< 2.5	95	² VO	94	CNTR $m_{X^0}=1.4$ MeV
< 120	95	² VO	94	CNTR $m_{X^0}=1.7$ MeV
< 3.8	95	³ SKALSEY	92	CNTR $m_{X^0}=1.5$ MeV

¹ VO 94 looked for $X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$ decaying at rest. The precise limits depend on m_{X^0} . See Fig. 2(b) in paper.

² VO 94 looked for $X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$ decaying in flight.

³ SKALSEY 92 also give limits 4.3 for $m_{X^0} = 1.54$ and 7.5 for 1.64 MeV. The spin of X^0 is assumed to be one.

NODE=S029XEG

NODE=S029XEG

NODE=S029XEG

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

OCCUR=4

NODE=S029XEG;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S029XEG;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S029XEG;LINKAGE=A

Light Boson (X^0) Search in Nonresonant e^+e^- Annihilation at Rest

Limits are for the ratio of $n\gamma + X^0$ production relative to $\gamma\gamma$.

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 4.2	90	¹ MITSUI	96	CNTR γX^0
< 4	68	² SKALSEY	95	CNTR γX^0
< 40	68	³ SKALSEY	95	RVUE γX^0
< 0.18	90	⁴ ADACHI	94	CNTR $\gamma\gamma X^0, X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
< 0.26	90	⁵ ADACHI	94	CNTR $\gamma\gamma X^0, X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
< 0.33	90	⁶ ADACHI	94	CNTR $\gamma X^0, X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

¹ MITSUI 96 looked for a monochromatic γ . The bound applies for a vector X^0 with $C=-1$ and $m_{X^0} < 200$ keV. They derive an upper bound on eeX^0 coupling and hence on the branching ratio $B(\alpha\text{-Ps} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma X^0) < 6.2 \times 10^{-6}$. The bounds weaken for heavier X^0 .

² SKALSEY 95 looked for a monochromatic γ without an accompanying γ in e^+e^- annihilation. The bound applies for scalar and vector X^0 with $C = -1$ and $m_{X^0} = 100\text{--}1000$ keV.

³ SKALSEY 95 reinterpreted the bound on γA^0 decay of $\alpha\text{-Ps}$ by ASAII 91 where 3% of delayed annihilations are not from 3S_1 states. The bound applies for scalar and vector X^0 with $C = -1$ and $m_{X^0} = 0\text{--}800$ keV.

⁴ ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the $\gamma\gamma$ invariant mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from e^+e^- annihilation. The bound applies for $m_{X^0} = 70\text{--}800$ keV.

⁵ ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the missing-mass mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma$ channel, using $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from e^+e^- annihilation. The bound applies for $m_{X^0} < 800$ keV.

⁶ ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the missing mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ channel, using $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from e^+e^- annihilation. The bound applies for $m_{X^0} = 200\text{--}900$ keV.

NODE=S029XGE

NODE=S029XGE

NODE=S029XGE

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=2

OCCUR=3

NODE=S029XGE;LINKAGE=F

NODE=S029XGE;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S029XGE;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S029XGE;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S029XGE;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S029XGE;LINKAGE=E

Searches for Goldstone Bosons (X^0)

(Including Horizontal Bosons and Majorons.) Limits are for branching ratios.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 3.3 $\times 10^{-2}$	95	¹ LESSA	07	RVUE Meson, ℓ decays to Majoron
		² DIAZ	98	THEO $H^0 \rightarrow X^0 X^0, A^0 \rightarrow X^0 X^0 X^0$, Majoron
		³ BOBRAKOV	91	Electron quasi-magnetic interaction
		⁴ ALBRECHT	90E ARG	$\tau \rightarrow \mu X^0$. Familon

NODE=S029GB

NODE=S029GB

NODE=S029GB

$<1.8 \times 10^{-2}$	95	4 ALBRECHT	90E ARG	$\tau \rightarrow e X^0$. Familon
$<6.4 \times 10^{-9}$	90	5 ATIYA	90 B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$. Familon
$<1.1 \times 10^{-9}$	90	6 BOLTON	88 CBOX	$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma X^0$. Familon
		7 CHANDA	88 ASTR	Sun, Majoron
		8 CHOI	88 ASTR	Majoron, SN 1987A
$<5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	9 PICCIOTTO	88 CNTR	$\pi \rightarrow e \nu X^0$, Majoron
$<1.3 \times 10^{-9}$	90	10 GOLDMAN	87 CNTR	$\mu \rightarrow e \gamma X^0$. Familon
$<3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	11 BRYMAN	86B RVUE	$\mu \rightarrow e X^0$. Familon
$<1 \times 10^{-10}$	90	12 EICHLER	86 SPEC	$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X^0$. Familon
$<2.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	13 JODIDIO	86 SPEC	$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X^0$. Familon
		14 BALTRUSAITIS..85	MRK3	$\tau \rightarrow \ell X^0$. Familon
		15 DICUS	83 COSM	$\nu(\text{hvy}) \rightarrow \nu(\text{light}) X^0$

OCCUR=2

¹ LESSA 07 consider decays of the form Meson $\rightarrow \ell \nu$ Majoron and $\ell \rightarrow \ell' \nu \bar{\nu}$ Majoron and use existing data to derive limits on the neutrino-Majoron Yukawa couplings $g_{\alpha\beta}$ ($\alpha, \beta = e, \mu, \tau$). Their best limits are $|g_{e\alpha}|^2 < 5.5 \times 10^{-6}$, $|g_{\mu\alpha}|^2 < 4.5 \times 10^{-5}$, $|g_{\tau\alpha}|^2 < 5.5 \times 10^{-2}$ at CL = 90%.

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=LE

² DIAZ 98 studied models of spontaneously broken lepton number with both singlet and triplet Higgses. They obtain limits on the parameter space from invisible decay $Z \rightarrow H^0 A^0 \rightarrow X^0 X^0 X^0 X^0$ and $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z H^0$ with $H^0 \rightarrow X^0 X^0$.

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=J

³ BOBRakov 91 searched for anomalous magnetic interactions between polarized electrons expected from the exchange of a massless pseudoscalar boson (arion). A limit $x_e^2 < 2 \times 10^{-4}$ (95%CL) is found for the effective anomalous magneton parametrized as $x_e (G_F / 8\pi\sqrt{2})^{1/2}$.

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=A2

⁴ ALBRECHT 90E limits are for $B(\tau \rightarrow \ell X^0)/B(\tau \rightarrow \ell \nu \bar{\nu})$. Valid for $m_{X^0} < 100$ MeV. The limits rise to 7.1% (for μ), 5.0% (for e) for $m_{X^0} = 500$ MeV.

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=I

⁵ ATIYA 90 limit is for $m_{X^0} = 0$. The limit $B < 1 \times 10^{-8}$ holds for $m_{X^0} < 95$ MeV. For the reduction of the limit due to finite lifetime of X^0 , see their Fig. 3.

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=H

⁶ BOLTON 88 limit corresponds to $F > 3.1 \times 10^9$ GeV, which does not depend on the chirality property of the coupling.

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=G

⁷ CHANDA 88 find $\nu_T < 10$ MeV for the weak-triplet Higgs vacuum expectation value in Gelmini-Roncadelli model, and $\nu_S > 5.8 \times 10^6$ GeV in the singlet Majoron model.

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=Z

⁸ CHOI 88 used the observed neutrino flux from the supernova SN 1987A to exclude the neutrino Majoron Yukawa coupling h in the range $2 \times 10^{-5} < h < 3 \times 10^{-4}$ for the interaction $L_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} i \bar{\psi}_\nu^\epsilon \gamma_5 \psi_\nu \phi X$. For several families of neutrinos, the limit applies for $(\sum h_i^4)^{1/4}$.

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=E

⁹ PICCIOTTO 88 limit applies when $m_{X^0} < 55$ MeV and $\tau_{X^0} > 2$ ns, and it decreases to 4×10^{-7} at $m_{X^0} = 125$ MeV, beyond which no limit is obtained.

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=F

¹⁰ GOLDMAN 87 limit corresponds to $F > 2.9 \times 10^9$ GeV for the family symmetry breaking scale from the Lagrangian $L_{\text{int}} = (1/F) \bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma^\mu (a + b \gamma_5) \psi_e \partial_\mu \phi X^0$ with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$. This is not as sensitive as the limit $F > 9.9 \times 10^9$ GeV derived from the search for $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X^0$ by JODIDIO 86, but does not depend on the chirality property of the coupling.

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=A

¹¹ Limits are for $\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow e X^0)/\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow e \nu \bar{\nu})$. Valid when $m_{X^0} = 0$ –93.4, 98.1–103.5 MeV.

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=B1

¹² EICHLER 86 looked for $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X^0$ followed by $X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$. Limits on the branching fraction depend on the mass and lifetime of X^0 . The quoted limits are valid when $\tau_{X^0} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-10}$ s if the decays are kinematically allowed.

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=T

¹³ JODIDIO 86 corresponds to $F > 9.9 \times 10^9$ GeV for the family symmetry breaking scale with the parity-conserving effective Lagrangian $L_{\text{int}} = (1/F) \bar{\psi}_\mu \gamma^\mu \psi_e \partial^\mu \phi X^0$.

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=D

¹⁴ BALTRUSAITIS 85 search for light Goldstone boson(X^0) of broken U(1). CL = 95% limits are $B(\tau \rightarrow \mu^+ X^0)/B(\tau \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) < 0.125$ and $B(\tau \rightarrow e^+ X^0)/B(\tau \rightarrow e^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) < 0.04$. Inferred limit for the symmetry breaking scale is $m > 3000$ TeV.

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=C

¹⁵ The primordial heavy neutrino must decay into ν and familon, f_A , early so that the red-shifted decay products are below critical density, see their table. In addition, $K \rightarrow \pi f_A$ and $\mu \rightarrow e f_A$ are unseen. Combining these excludes $m_{\text{heavy}\nu}$ between 5×10^{-5} and 5×10^{-4} MeV (μ decay) and $m_{\text{heavy}\nu}$ between 5×10^{-5} and 0.1 MeV (K -decay).

NODE=S029GB;LINKAGE=A1

Majoron Searches in Neutrinoless Double β Decay

Limits are for the half-life of neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay with a Majoron emission.

NODE=S029MT

No experiment currently claims any such evidence. Only the best or comparable limits for each isotope are reported. Also see the reviews ZUBER 98 and FAESSLER 98B.

NODE=S029MT

$t_{1/2}(10^{21} \text{ yr})$	$CL\%$	ISOTOPE	TRANSITION	METHOD	DOCUMENT ID
>7200	90	128Te		CNTR	1 BERNATOW... 92

NODE=S029MT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>2600	90	¹³⁶ Xe	0νχ	KamLAND-Zen	2 GANDO	12
> 16	90	¹³⁰ Te	0νχ	NEMO-3	3 ARNOLD	11
> 1.9	90	⁹⁶ Zr	2ν1χ	NEMO-3	4 ARGYRIADES	10
> 1.52	90	¹⁵⁰ Nd	0ν1χ	NEMO-3	5 ARGYRIADES	09
> 27	90	¹⁰⁰ Mo	0ν1χ	NEMO-3	6 ARNOLD	06
> 15	90	⁸² Se	0ν1χ	NEMO-3	7 ARNOLD	06
> 14	90	¹⁰⁰ Mo	0ν1χ	NEMO-3	8 ARNOLD	04
> 12	90	⁸² Se	0ν1χ	NEMO-3	9 ARNOLD	04
> 2.2	90	¹³⁰ Te	0ν1χ	Cryog. det.	10 ARNABOLDI	03
> 0.9	90	¹³⁰ Te	0ν2χ	Cryog. det.	11 ARNABOLDI	03
> 8	90	¹¹⁶ Cd	0ν1χ	CdWO ₄ scint.	12 DANEVICH	03
> 0.8	90	¹¹⁶ Cd	0ν2χ	CdWO ₄ scint.	13 DANEVICH	03
> 500	90	¹³⁶ Xe	0νχ	Liquid Xe Scint.	14 BERNABEI	02D
> 5.8	90	¹⁰⁰ Mo	0νχ	ELEGANT V	15 FUSHIMI	02
> 0.32	90	¹⁰⁰ Mo	0νχ	Liq. Ar ioniz.	16 ASHITKOV	01
> 0.0035	90	¹⁶⁰ Gd	0νχ	¹⁶⁰ Gd ₂ SiO ₅ :Ce	17 DANEVICH	01
> 0.013	90	¹⁶⁰ Gd	0ν2χ	¹⁶⁰ Gd ₂ SiO ₅ :Ce	18 DANEVICH	01
> 2.3	90	⁸² Se	0νχ	NEMO 2	19 ARNOLD	00
> 0.31	90	⁹⁶ Zr	0νχ	NEMO 2	20 ARNOLD	00
> 0.63	90	⁸² Se	0ν2χ	NEMO 2	21 ARNOLD	00
> 0.063	90	⁹⁶ Zr	0ν2χ	NEMO 2	21 ARNOLD	00
> 0.16	90	¹⁰⁰ Mo	0ν2χ	NEMO 2	21 ARNOLD	00
> 2.4	90	⁸² Se	0νχ	NEMO 2	22 ARNOLD	98
> 7.2	90	¹³⁶ Xe	0ν2χ	TPC	23 LUESCHER	98
> 7.91	90	⁷⁶ Ge		SPEC	24 GUENTHER	96
> 17	90	⁷⁶ Ge		CNTR	BECK	93

¹ BERNATOWICZ 92 studied double-β decays of ¹²⁸Te and ¹³⁰Te, and found the ratio $\tau(130\text{Te})/\tau(128\text{Te}) = (3.52 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$ in agreement with relatively stable theoretical predictions. The bound is based on the requirement that Majoron-emitting decay cannot be larger than the observed double-beta rate of ¹²⁸Te of $(7.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{24}$ year. We calculated 90% CL limit as $(7.7 - 1.28 \times 0.4 = 7.2) \times 10^{24}$.

² GANDO 12 use the KamLAND-Zen detector to obtain the limit on the 0νχ decay with Majoron emission. It implies that the coupling constant $g_{νχ} < 0.8 - 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ depending on the nuclear matrix elements used.

³ ARNOLD 11 use the NEMO-3 detector to obtain the reported limit on Majoron emission. It implies that the coupling constant $g_{νχ} < 0.6 - 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ depending on the nuclear matrix element used. Supersedes ARNABOLDI 03.

⁴ ARGYRIADES 10 use the NEMO-3 tracking detector and ⁹⁶Zr to derive the reported limit. No limit for the Majoron electron coupling is given.

⁵ ARGYRIADES 09 use ¹⁵⁰Nd data taken with the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The reported limit corresponds to $\langle g_{νχ} \rangle < 1.7 - 3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ using a range of nuclear matrix elements that include the effect of nuclear deformation.

⁶ ARNOLD 06 use ¹⁰⁰Mo data taken with the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The reported limit corresponds to $\langle g_{νχ} \rangle < (0.4 - 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$ using a range of matrix element calculations. Supersedes ARNOLD 04.

⁷ NEMO-3 tracking calorimeter is used in ARNOLD 06. Reported half-life limit for ⁸²Se corresponds to $\langle g_{νχ} \rangle < (0.66 - 1.9) \times 10^{-4}$ using a range of matrix element calculations. Supersedes ARNOLD 04.

⁸ ARNOLD 04 use the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The limit corresponds to $\langle g_{νχ} \rangle < (0.5 - 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$ using the matrix elements of SIMKOVIC 99, STOICA 01 and CIVITARESE 03.

⁹ ARNOLD 04 use the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The limit corresponds to $\langle g_{νχ} \rangle < (0.7 - 1.6) \times 10^{-4}$ using the matrix elements of SIMKOVIC 99, STOICA 01 and CIVITARESE 03.

¹⁰ Supersedes ALESSANDRELLO 00. Array of TeO₂ crystals in high resolution cryogenic calorimeter. Some enriched in ¹³⁰Te. Derive $\langle g_{νχ} \rangle < 17 - 33 \times 10^{-5}$ depending on matrix element.

¹¹ Supersedes ALESSANDRELLO 00. Cryogenic calorimeter search.

¹² Limit for the 0νχ decay with Majoron emission of ¹¹⁶Cd using enriched CdWO₄ scintillators. $\langle g_{νχ} \rangle < 4.6 - 8.1 \times 10^{-5}$ depending on the matrix element. Supersedes DANEVICH 00.

¹³ Limit for the 0ν2χ decay of ¹¹⁶Cd. Supersedes DANEVICH 00.

¹⁴ BERNABEI 02D obtain limit for 0νχ decay with Majoron emission of ¹³⁶Xe using liquid Xe scintillation detector. They derive $\langle g_{νχ} \rangle < 2.0 - 3.0 \times 10^{-5}$ with several nuclear matrix elements.

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=GA

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=RG

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=AG

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=AM

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=AS

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=A1

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=A2

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=RO

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=RP

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=VI

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=VJ

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=D5

- 15 Replaces TANAKA 93. FUSHIMI 02 derive half-life limit for the $0\nu\chi$ decay by means
of tracking calorimeter ELEGANT V. Considering various matrix element calculations, a
range of limits for the Majoron-neutrino coupling is given: $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < (6.3-360) \times 10^{-5}$.

16 ASHITKOV 01 result for $0\nu\chi$ of ^{100}Mo is less stringent than ARNOLD 00.

17 DANEVICH 01 obtain limit for the $0\nu\chi$ decay with Majoron emission of ^{160}Gd using
 $\text{Gd}_2\text{SiO}_5:\text{Ce}$ crystal scintillators.

18 DANEVICH 01 obtain limit for the $0\nu 2\chi$ decay with 2 Majoron emission of ^{160}Gd .

19 ARNOLD 00 reports limit for the $0\nu\chi$ decay with Majoron emission derived from tracking
calorimeter NEMO 2. Using ^{82}Se source: $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$. Matrix element from
GUENTHER 96.

20 Using ^{96}Zr source: $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$. Matrix element from ARNOLD 99.

21 ARNOLD 00 reports limit for the $0\nu 2\chi$ decay with two Majoron emission derived from
tracking calorimeter NEMO 2.

22 ARNOLD 98 determine the limit for $0\nu\chi$ decay with Majoron emission of ^{82}Se using the
NEMO-2 tracking detector. They derive $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < 2.3-4.3 \times 10^{-4}$ with several nuclear
matrix elements.

23 LUESCHER 98 report a limit for the 0ν decay with Majoron emission of ^{136}Xe using Xe
TPC. This result is more stringent than BARABASH 89. Using the matrix elements of
ENGEL 88, they obtain a limit on $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle$ of 2.0×10^{-4} .

24 See Table 1 in GUENTHER 96 for limits on the Majoron coupling in different models.

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=FM

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=SH
NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=TH

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=TI
NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=K1

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=K2
NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=K5

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=RN

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=LT

NODE=S029MT;LINKAGE=C

Invisible A^0 (Axion) MASS LIMITS from Astrophysics and Cosmology

$v_1 = v_2$ is usually assumed (v_i = vacuum expectation values). For a review of these limits, see RAFFELT 91 and TURNER 90. In the comment lines below, D and K refer to DFSZ and KSVZ axion types, discussed in the above minireview.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
none	$0.7\text{--}3 \times 10^5$	1 CADAMURO	COSM	D abundance
< 105	90	2 DERBIN	CNTR	D, solar axion
< 0.72	95	3 ANDRIAMON...	CAST	K, solar axions
< 191	90	4 HANNESTAD	COSM	K, hot dark matter
< 334	95	5 ANDRIAMON...	CAST	K, solar axions
< 1.02	95	6 DERBIN	CNTR	K, solar axions
< 1.2	95	7 KEKEZ	HPGE	K, solar axions
< 0.42	95	8 HANNESTAD	COSM	K, hot dark matter
< 1.05	95	9 HANNESTAD	COSM	K, hot dark matter
3 to 20		10 MELCHIORRI	COSM	K, hot dark matter
< 0.007		11 HANNESTAD	COSM	K, hot dark matter
< 4		12 MOROI	COSM	K, hot dark matter
< $(0.5\text{--}6) \times 10^{-3}$		13 BORISOV	ASTR	D, neutron star
< 0.018		14 KACHELRIESS	ASTR	D, neutron star cooling
< 0.010		15 KEIL	ASTR	SN 1987A
< 0.01		16 RAFFELT	ASTR	D, red giant
< 0.03		17 ALTHERR	ASTR	D, red giants, white dwarfs
none 3-8		18 CHANG	ASTR	K, SN 1987A
< 10		WANG	ASTR	D, white dwarf
		WANG	ASTR	D, C-O burning
		19 BERSHADY	ASTR	D, K, intergalactic light
		20 KIM	COSM	D, K, mass density of the universe, supersymmetry
< 1 $\times 10^{-3}$		21 RAFFELT	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
none $10^{-3}\text{--}3$		22 RESSELL	ASTR	K, intergalactic light
		BURROWS	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
< 0.02		23 ENGEL	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
< 1 $\times 10^{-3}$		24 RAFFELT	ASTR	D, red giant
< $(1.4\text{--}10) \times 10^{-3}$		25 BURROWS	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
< 3.6 $\times 10^{-4}$		26 ERICSON	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
< 12		27 MAYLE	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
< 1 $\times 10^{-3}$		CHANDA	ASTR	D, Sun
		RAFFELT	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
< 0.07		28 RAFFELT	ASTR	red giant
< 0.7		FRIEMAN	ASTR	D, red giant
< 2-5		29 RAFFELT	ASTR	K, red giant
< 0.01		TURNER	COSM	K, thermal production
< 0.06		30 DEARBORN	ASTR	D, red giant
		RAFFELT	ASTR	D, red giant

< 0.7	31 RAFFELT	86 ASTR	K, red giant	OCCUR=2
< 0.03	RAFFELT	86B ASTR	D, white dwarf	
< 1	32 KAPLAN	85 ASTR	K, red giant	
< 0.003–0.02	IWAMOTO	84 ASTR	D, K, neutron star	
> 1 $\times 10^{-5}$	ABBOTT	83 COSM	D,K, mass density of the universe	
> 1 $\times 10^{-5}$	DINE	83 COSM	D,K, mass density of the universe	
< 0.04	ELLIS	83B ASTR	D, red giant	
> 1 $\times 10^{-5}$	PRESKILL	83 COSM	D,K, mass density of the universe	
< 0.1	BARROSO	82 ASTR	D, red giant	
< 1	33 FUKUGITA	82 ASTR	D, stellar cooling	
< 0.07	FUKUGITA	82B ASTR	D, red giant	
1 CADAMURO 11 use the deuterium abundance to show that the m_A range 0.7 eV – 300 keV is excluded for axions, complementing HANNESTAD 10.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=CA
2 DERBIN 11A look for solar axions produced by Compton and bremsstrahlung processes, in the resonant excitation of ^{169}Tm , constraining the axion-electron \times axion nucleon couplings.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=DR
3 ANDRIAMONJE 10 search for solar axions produced from ^7Li (478 keV) and $\text{D}(p,\gamma)^3\text{He}$ (5.5 MeV) nuclear transitions. They show limits on the axion-photon coupling for two reference values of the axion-nucleon coupling for $m_A < 100$ eV.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=ND
4 This is an update of HANNESTAD 08 including 7 years of WMAP data.				
5 ANDRIAMONJE 09 look for solar axions produced from the thermally excited 14.4 keV level of ^{57}Fe . They show limits on the axion-nucleon \times axion-photon coupling assuming $m_A < 0.03$ eV.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=NN NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=AD
6 DERBIN 09A look for Primakoff-produced solar axions in the resonant excitation of ^{169}Tm , constraining the axion-photon \times axion-nucleon couplings.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=DE
7 KEKEZ 09 look at axio-electric effect of solar axions in HPGe detectors. The one-loop axion-electron coupling for hadronic axions is used.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=KE
8 This is an update of HANNESTAD 07 including 5 years of WMAP data.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=AN NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=HN
9 This is an update of HANNESTAD 05A with new cosmological data, notably WMAP (3 years) and baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO). Lyman- α data are left out, in contrast to HANNESTAD 05A and MELCHIORRI 07A, because it is argued that systematic errors are large. It uses Bayesian statistics and marginalizes over a possible neutrino hot dark matter component.				
10 MELCHIORRI 07A is analogous to HANNESTAD 05A, with updated cosmological data, notably WMAP (3 years). Uses Bayesian statistics and marginalizes over a possible neutrino hot dark matter component. Leaving out Lyman- α data, a conservative limit is 1.4 eV.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=ME
11 HANNESTAD 05A puts an upper limit on the mass of hadronic axion because in this mass range it would have been thermalized and contribute to the hot dark matter component of the universe. The limit is based on the CMB anisotropy from WMAP, SDSS large scale structure, Lyman α , and the prior Hubble parameter from HST Key Project. A χ^2 statistic is used. Neutrinos are assumed not to contribute to hot dark matter.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=HA
12 MOROI 98 points out that a KSVZ axion of this mass range (see CHANG 93) can be a viable hot dark matter of Universe, as long as the model-dependent $g_{A\gamma}$ is accidentally small enough as originally emphasized by KAPLAN 85; see Fig. 1.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=T
13 BORISOV 97 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1 \times 10^{-13}$ from the photo-production of axions off of magnetic fields in the outer layers of neutron stars.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=P
14 KACHELRIESS 97 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1 \times 10^{-10}$ from the production of axions in strongly magnetized neutron stars. The authors also quote a stronger limit, $g_{ae} < 9 \times 10^{-13}$ which is strongly dependent on the strength of the magnetic field in white dwarfs.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=Q
15 KEIL 97 uses new measurements of the axial-vector coupling strength of nucleons, as well as a reanalysis of many-body effects and pion-emission processes in the core of the neutron star, to update limits on the invisible-axion mass.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=R
16 RAFFELT 95 reexamined the constraints on axion emission from red giants due to the axion-electron coupling. They improve on DEARBORN 86 by taking into proper account degeneracy effects in the bremsstrahlung rate. The limit comes from requiring the red giant core mass at helium ignition not to exceed its standard value by more than 5% (0.025 solar masses).				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=F
17 ALTHERR 94 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1.5 \times 10^{-13}$, from energy loss via axion emission.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=K
18 CHANG 93 updates ENGEL 90 bound with the Kaplan-Manohar ambiguity in $z=m_u/m_d$ (see the Note on the Quark Masses in the Quark Particle Listings). It leaves the window $f_A=3 \times 10^5$ – 3×10^6 GeV open. The constraint from Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis is satisfied in this window as well.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=U
19 BERSHADY 91 searched for a line at wave length from 3100–8300 Å expected from 2 γ decays of relic thermal axions in intergalactic light of three rich clusters of galaxies.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=AB
20 KIM 91C argues that the bound from the mass density of the universe will change drastically for the supersymmetric models due to the entropy production of saxion (scalar component in the axionic chiral multiplet) decay. Note that it is an <i>upperbound</i> rather than a lowerbound.				NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=O

- 21 RAFFELT 91B argue that previous SN 1987A bounds must be relaxed due to corrections to nucleon bremsstrahlung processes.
- 22 RESSELL 91 uses absence of any intracluster line emission to set limit.
- 23 ENGEL 90 rule out $10^{-10} \lesssim g_{AN} \lesssim 10^{-3}$, which for a hadronic axion with EMC motivated axion-nucleon couplings corresponds to $2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV} \lesssim m_{A0} \lesssim 2.5 \times 10^4 \text{ eV}$. The constraint is loose in the middle of the range, i.e. for $g_{AN} \sim 10^{-6}$.
- 24 RAFFELT 90D is a re-analysis of DEARBORN 86.
- 25 The region $m_{A0} \gtrsim 2 \text{ eV}$ is also allowed.
- 26 ERICSON 89 considered various nuclear corrections to axion emission in a supernova core, and found a reduction of the previous limit (MAYLE 88) by a large factor.
- 27 MAYLE 89 limit based on naive quark model couplings of axion to nucleons. Limit based on couplings motivated by EMC measurements is 2–4 times weaker. The limit from axion-electron coupling is weak: see HATSUDA 88B.
- 28 RAFFELT 88B derives a limit for the energy generation rate by exotic processes in helium-burning stars $\epsilon < 100 \text{ erg g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which gives a firmer basis for the axion limits based on red giant cooling.
- 29 RAFFELT 87 also gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$.
- 30 DEARBORN 86 also gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 1.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$.
- 31 RAFFELT 86 gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 1.1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ from red giants and $< 2.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ from the sun.
- 32 KAPLAN 85 says $m_{A0} < 23 \text{ eV}$ is allowed for a special choice of model parameters.
- 33 FUKUGITA 82 gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 2.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$.

NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=N

NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=M

NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=L

NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=J

NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=I

NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=H

NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=C

NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=G

NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S029IAA;LINKAGE=E

NODE=S029AXI

NODE=S029AXI

NODE=S029AXI

OCCUR=2

NODE=S029AXI;LINKAGE=HO

NODE=S029AXI;LINKAGE=SZ

NODE=S029AXI;LINKAGE=DU

NODE=S029AXI;LINKAGE=AS

NODE=S029AXI;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S029AXI;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S029AXI;LINKAGE=A

Search for Relic Invisible Axions

Limits are for $[G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A0}]^2 \rho_A$ where $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ denotes the axion two-photon coupling, $L_{\text{int}} = -\frac{G_{A\gamma\gamma}}{4} \phi_A F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = G_{A\gamma\gamma} \phi_A \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$, and ρ_A is the axion energy density near the earth.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$< 3.5 \times 10^{-43}$		1 HOSKINS	11 ADMX	$m_{A0} = 3.3\text{--}3.69 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 2.9 \times 10^{-43}$	90	2 ASZTALOS	10 ADMX	$m_{A0} = 3.34\text{--}3.53 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 1.9 \times 10^{-43}$	97.7	3 DUFFY	06 ADMX	$m_{A0} = 1.98\text{--}2.17 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 5.5 \times 10^{-43}$	90	4 ASZTALOS	04 ADMX	$m_{A0} = 1.9\text{--}3.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
		5 KIM	98 THEO	
$< 2 \times 10^{-41}$		6 HAGMANN	90 CNTR	$m_{A0} = (5.4\text{--}5.9)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-42}$	95	7 WUENSCH	89 CNTR	$m_{A0} = (4.5\text{--}10.2)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 2 \times 10^{-41}$	95	7 WUENSCH	89 CNTR	$m_{A0} = (11.3\text{--}16.3)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$

1 HOSKINS 11 is analogous to DUFFY 06. See Fig. 4 for the mass-dependent limit in terms of the local density.

2 ASZTALOS 10 used the upgraded detector of ASZTALOS 04 to search for halo axions. See their Fig. 5 for the m_{A0} dependence of the limit.

3 DUFFY 06 used the upgraded detector of ASZTALOS 04, while assuming a smaller velocity dispersion than the isothermal model as in Eq. (8) of their paper. See Fig. 10 of their paper on the axion mass dependence of the limit.

4 ASZTALOS 04 looked for a conversion of halo axions to microwave photons in magnetic field. At 90% CL, the KSVZ axion cannot have a local halo density more than 0.45 GeV/cm^3 in the quoted mass range. See Fig. 7 of their paper on the axion mass dependence of the limit.

5 KIM 98 calculated the axion-to-photon couplings for various axion models and compared them to the HAGMANN 90 bounds. This analysis demonstrates a strong model dependence of $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and hence the bound from relic axion search.

6 HAGMANN 90 experiment is based on the proposal of SIKIVIE 83.

7 WUENSCH 89 looks for condensed axions near the earth that could be converted to photons in the presence of an intense electromagnetic field via the Primakoff effect, following the proposal of SIKIVIE 83. The theoretical prediction with $[G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A0}]^2 = 2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ MeV}^{-4}$ (the three generation DFSZ model) and $\rho_A = 300 \text{ MeV/cm}^3$ that makes up galactic halos gives $(G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A0})^2 \rho_A = 4 \times 10^{-44}$. Note that our definition of $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ is $(1/4\pi)$ smaller than that of WUENSCH 89.

Invisible A^0 (Axion) Limits from Photon Coupling

Limits are for the axion-two-photon coupling $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ defined by $L = G_{A\gamma\gamma} \phi_A \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$.

For scalars S^0 the limit is on the coupling constant in $L = G_{S\gamma\gamma} \phi_S (\mathbf{E}^2 - \mathbf{B}^2)$.

VALUE (GeV $^{-1}$)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

NODE=S029IAG

NODE=S029IAG

NODE=S029IAG

$<2.5 \times 10^{-13}$	95	1 CADAMURO 2 PAYEZ 3 ARIK 4 EHRET 5 AHMED 6 ARIK 7 CHOU 8 GONDOLLO 9 AFANASEV 10 CHOU 11 FOUCHE 12 INOUE 13 ZAVATTINI 14 ANDRIAMON... 15 ROBILLIARD 16 ZAVATTINI 17 INOUE 18 MORALES 19 BERNABEI 20 ASTIER 21 MASSO 22 AVIGNONE 23 MORIYAMA 24 CAMERON 25 CAMERON 26 LAZARUS 26 LAZARUS 27 RUOSO 28 SEMERTZIDIS	12 12 11 10 09A 09 09 09 08 08 08 08 08 07 06 02 02B 01B 00B 00 98 98 93 93 92 92 92 90	COSM ASTR CAST ALPS CDMS CAST Chameleons ASTR AFANASEV CHOU FOUCHE INOUE ZAVATTINI ANDRIAMON... ROBILLIARD ZAVATTINI INOUE MORALES BERNABEI ASTIER MASSO AVIGNONE MORIYAMA CAMERON CAMERON LAZARUS LAZARUS RUOSO SEMERTZIDIS	Axion-like particles $m_{A0} < 4.2 \times 10^{-14}$ eV $m_{A0} = 0.39\text{--}0.64$ eV $m_{A0} < 0.7$ meV $m_{A0} < 100$ eV $m_{A0} = 0.02\text{--}0.39$ eV $m_{A0} <$ few keV $m_{S0} < 1$ meV $m_{A0} < 0.5$ meV $m_{A0} < 1$ meV $m_{A0} = 0.84\text{--}1.00$ eV $m_{A0} < 1$ meV $m_{A0} < 0.02$ eV $m_{A0} < 1$ meV $m_{A0} = 1\text{--}1.5$ meV $m_{A0} = 0.05\text{--}0.27$ eV $m_{A0} < 1$ keV $m_{A0} < 100$ eV $m_{A0} < 40$ eV induced γ coupling $m_{A0} < 1$ keV $m_{A0} < 0.03$ eV $m_{A0} < 10^{-3}$ eV, optical rotation $m_{A0} < 10^{-3}$ eV, photon regeneration $m_{A0} < 0.03$ eV $m_{A0} = 0.03\text{--}0.11$ eV $m_{A0} < 10^{-3}$ eV $m_{A0} < 7 \times 10^{-4}$ eV	
$<6.7 \times 10^{-7}$	95	25 CAMERON	93	$m_{A0} < 10^{-3}$ eV, photon regeneration	OCCUR=2	
$<3.6 \times 10^{-9}$	99.7	26 LAZARUS	92	$m_{A0} < 0.03$ eV		
$<7.7 \times 10^{-9}$	99.7	26 LAZARUS	92	$m_{A0} = 0.03\text{--}0.11$ eV	OCCUR=2	
$<7.7 \times 10^{-7}$	99	27 RUOSO	92	$m_{A0} < 10^{-3}$ eV		
$<2.5 \times 10^{-6}$	95	28 SEMERTZIDIS	90	$m_{A0} < 7 \times 10^{-4}$ eV		

¹CADAMURO 12 derived cosmological limits on $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ for axion-like particles. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.

²PAYEZ 12 derive limits from polarization measurements of quasar light (see their Fig. 3). The limits depend on assumed magnetic field strength in galaxy clusters. The limits depend on assumed magnetic field and electron density in the local galaxy supercluster.
³ARIK 11 search for solar axions using ^3He buffer gas in CAST, continuing from the ^4He version of ARIK 09. See Fig. 2 for the exact mass-dependent limits.

⁴ ALPS is a photon regeneration experiment. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits on scalar and pseudoscalar bosons.

⁵ AHMED 09A is analogous to AVIGNONE 98.

⁶ ARIK 09 is the ^4He filling version of the CAST axion helioscope in analogy to INOUE 02 and INOUE 08. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.

⁷ CHOU 09 use the GammeV apparatus in the afterglow mode to search for chameleons, (pseudo)scalar bosons with a mass depending on the environment. For pseudoscalars they exclude at 3σ the range $2.6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ GeV}^{-1} < G_{A\gamma\gamma} < 4.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for vacuum m_{A^0} roughly below 6 meV for density scaling index exceeding 0.8.

⁸ GONDOLO 09 use the all-flavor measured solar neutrino flux to constrain solar interior temperature and thus energy losses.

⁹ LIPSS photon regeneration experiment, assuming scalar particle S^0 . See Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.

¹⁰ CHOU 08 perform a variable-baseline photon regeneration experiment. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits. Excludes the PVLAS result of ZAVATTINI 06.

¹¹FOUCHE 08 is an update of ROBILLIARD 07. See their Fig. 12 for mass-dependent limits.

¹² INOUE 08 is an extension of INOUE 02 to larger axion masses, using the Tokyo axion helioscope. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.

¹³ ZAVATTINI 08 is an upgrade of ZAVATTINI 06, see their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits. They now exclude the parameter range where ZAVATTINI 06 had seen a positive

¹⁴ ANDRIAMONJE 07 looked for Primakoff conversion of solar axions in 9T superconduct-

¹⁵ ROBILLIARD 07 perform a photon regeneration experiment with a pulsed laser and pulsed magnetic field. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits. Excludes the PVLAS

NODE=S029|AG:LINKAGE=CA

NODE=S029|AG:LINKAGE=PA

NODE=S029|AG:LINKAGE=A1

NODE=S029IAG:LINKAGE=EH

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=AH
NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=AR

NODE-S029IAC-LINKACE-CH

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=GO

NODE=S029IAG:LINKAGE=AF

NODE-S029IAC:LINKAGE-HQ

NODE=S029IAC:LINKAGE=EQ

NODE=S029|AG:LINKAGE=IO

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=ZV

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=RO

- 16 ZAVATTINI 06 propagate a laser beam in a magnetic field and observe dichroism and birefringence effects that could be attributed to an axion-like particle. This result is now excluded by ROBILLIARD 07, ZAVATTINI 08, and CHOU 08.
- 17 INOUE 02 looked for Primakoff conversion of solar axions in 4T superconducting magnet into X ray.
- 18 MORALES 02B looked for the coherent conversion of solar axions to photons via the Primakoff effect in Germanium detector.
- 19 BERNABEI 01B looked for Primakoff coherent conversion of solar axions into photons via Bragg scattering in NaI crystal in DAMA dark matter detector.
- 20 ASTIER 00B looked for production of axions from the interaction of high-energy photons with the horn magnetic field and their subsequent re-conversion to photons via the interaction with the NOMAD dipole magnetic field.
- 21 MASSO 00 studied limits on axion-proton coupling using the induced axion-photon coupling through the proton loop and CAMERON 93 bound on the axion-photon coupling using optical rotation. They obtained the bound $g_p^2/4\pi < 1.7 \times 10^{-9}$ for the coupling $g_p \bar{p} \gamma_5 P \phi_A$.
- 22 AVIGNONE 98 result is based on the coherent conversion of solar axions to photons via the Primakoff effect in a single crystal germanium detector.
- 23 Based on the conversion of solar axions to X-rays in a strong laboratory magnetic field.
- 24 Experiment based on proposal by MAIANI 86.
- 25 Experiment based on proposal by VANBIBBER 87.
- 26 LAZARUS 92 experiment is based on proposal found in VANBIBBER 89.
- 27 RUOSO 92 experiment is based on the proposal by VANBIBBER 87.
- 28 SEMERTZIDIS 90 experiment is based on the proposal of MAIANI 86. The limit is obtained by taking the noise amplitude as the upper limit. Limits extend to $m_{A^0} = 4 \times 10^{-3}$ where $G_{A\gamma\gamma} < 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$.

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=ZA

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=IN

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=ML

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=BB

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=GB

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=MS

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=FT

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=D

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=C1

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=C2

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=AA

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S029IAG;LINKAGE=A

Limit on Invisible A^0 (Axion) Electron Coupling

The limit is for $G_{Aee}\partial_\mu\phi_A\bar{e}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 e$ in GeV^{-1} , or equivalently, the dipole-dipole potential $\frac{G_{Aee}^2}{4\pi} ((\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) - 3(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n})(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}))/r^3$ where $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{r}/r$.

VALUE (GeV^{-1})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<7 $\times 10^{-10}$	95	1 CORSICO	12 ASTR	White dwarf cooling
<2.2 $\times 10^{-7}$	90	2 DERBIN	12 CNTR	Solar axions
<0.02–1 $\times 10^{-7}$	90	3 AALSETH	11 CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 0.3\text{--}8 \text{ keV}$
<1.4 $\times 10^{-9}$	90	4 AHMED	09A CDMS	$m_{A^0} = 2.5 \text{ keV}$
<3 $\times 10^{-6}$		5 DAVOUDIASL	09 ASTR	Earth cooling
<5.3 $\times 10^{-5}$	66	6 NI	94	Induced magnetism
<6.7 $\times 10^{-5}$	66	6 CHUI	93	Induced magnetism
<3.6 $\times 10^{-4}$	66	7 PAN	92	Torsion pendulum
<2.7 $\times 10^{-5}$	95	6 BOBRAKOV	91	Induced magnetism
<1.9 $\times 10^{-3}$	66	8 WINELAND	91 NMR	
<8.9 $\times 10^{-4}$	66	7 RITTER	90	Torsion pendulum
<6.6 $\times 10^{-5}$	95	6 VOROBYOV	88	Induced magnetism

1 CORSICO 12 attributed the excessive cooling rate of the pulsating white dwarf R548 to emission of axions with $G_{Aee} \approx 5 \times 10^{-10}$.

2 DERBIN 12 look for solar axions with the axio-electric effect in a Si(Li) detector. The solar production is based on Compton and bremsstrahlung processes.

3 AALSETH 11 assume keV-mass pseudoscalars are the local dark matter and constrain the axio-electric effect in the CoGeNT detector. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.

4 AHMED 09A is analogous to AALSETH 08, using the CDMS detector. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.

5 DAVOUDIASL 09 use geophysical constraints on Earth cooling by axion emission.

6 These experiments measured induced magnetization of a bulk material by the spin-dependent potential generated from other bulk material with aligned electron spins, where the magnetic field is shielded with superconductor.

7 These experiments used a torsion pendulum to measure the potential between two bulk matter objects where the spins are polarized but without a net magnetic field in either of them.

8 WINELAND 91 looked for an effect of bulk matter with aligned electron spins on atomic hyperfine splitting using nuclear magnetic resonance.

NODE=S029AEX

NODE=S029AEX

NODE=S029AEX

NODE=S029AEX;LINKAGE=CO

NODE=S029AEX;LINKAGE=DE

NODE=S029AEX;LINKAGE=AL

NODE=S029AEX;LINKAGE=AH

NODE=S029AEX;LINKAGE=DA

NODE=S029AEX;LINKAGE=B4

NODE=S029AEX;LINKAGE=D4

NODE=S029AEX;LINKAGE=A4

Invisible A^0 (Axion) Limits from Nucleon Coupling

Limits are for the axion mass in eV.

NODE=S029IAN

NODE=S029IAN

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<8.6 \times 10^3$	90	1 BELLI	12 CNTR	Solar axion
$<1.41 \times 10^2$	90	2 BELLINI	12B BORX	Solar axion
$<1.45 \times 10^2$	95	3 DERBIN	11 CNTR	Solar axion
		4 BELLINI	08 CNTR	Solar axion
		5 ADELBERGER	07	Test of Newton's law
¹ BELLI 12 looked for solar axions emitted by the M1 transition of ${}^7\text{Li}^*$ (478 keV) after the electron capture of ${}^7\text{Be}$, using the resonant excitation ${}^7\text{Li}$ in the LiF crystal. The mass bound assumes $m_u/m_d = 0.55$, $m_u/m_s = 0.029$, and the flavor-singlet axial vector matrix element $S = 0.4$.				
² BELLINI 12B looked for 5.5 MeV solar axions produced in the $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} A^0$. The limit assumes the hadronic axion model. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for mass-dependent limits on products of axion couplings to photons, electrons, and nucleons.				
³ DERBIN 11 looked for solar axions emitted by the M1 transition of thermally excited ${}^{57}\text{Fe}$ nuclei in the Sun, using their possible resonant capture on ${}^{57}\text{Fe}$ in the laboratory. The mass bound assumes $m_u/m_d = 0.56$ and the flavor-singlet axial vector matrix element $S = 3F - D \simeq 0.5$.				
⁴ BELLINI 08 consider solar axions emitted in the M1 transition of ${}^7\text{Li}^*$ (478 keV) and look for a peak at 478 keV in the energy spectra of the Counting Test Facility (CTF), a Borexino prototype. For $m_{A^0} < 450$ keV they find mass-dependent limits on products of axion couplings to photons, electrons, and nucleons.				
⁵ ADELBERGER 07 use precision tests of Newton's law to constrain a force contribution from the exchange of two pseudoscalars. See their Fig. 5 for limits on the pseudoscalar coupling to nucleons, relevant for m_{A^0} below about 1 meV.				

Axion Limits from T -violating Medium-Range Forces

The limit is for the coupling $g = g_p g_s$ in a T -violating potential between nucleons or nucleon and electron of the form $V = \frac{g\hbar^2}{8\pi m_p}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \hat{\mathbf{r}})(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{\lambda r})e^{-r/\lambda}$, where g_p and g_s are dimensionless scalar and pseudoscalar coupling constants and $\lambda = \hbar/(m_A c)$ is the range of the force.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1 CHU	13		polarized ${}^3\text{He}$
2 RAFFELT	12		stellar energy loss
3 HOEDL	11		torsion pendulum
4 PETUKHOV	10		polarized ${}^3\text{He}$
5 SEREBROV	10		ultracold neutrons
6 IGNATOVICH	09	RVUE	ultracold neutrons
7 SEREBROV	09	RVUE	ultracold neutrons
8 BAESSLER	07		ultracold neutrons
9 HECKEL	06		torsion pendulum
10 NI	99		paramagnetic Tb F ₃
11 POSPELOV	98	THEO	neutron EDM
12 YOUDIN	96		
13 RITTER	93		torsion pendulum
14 VENEMA	92		nuclear spin-precession frequencies
15 WINELAND	91	NMR	

- ¹ CHU 13 look for a shift of the spin precession frequency of polarized ${}^3\text{He}$ in the presence of an unpolarized mass, in analogy to YOUDIN 96. See Fig. 3 for limits on g in the approximate m_{A^0} range 0.02–2 meV.
- ² RAFFELT 12 show that the pseudoscalar couplings to electron and nucleon and the scalar coupling to nucleon are individually constrained by stellar energy-loss arguments and searches for anomalous monopole-monopole forces, together providing restrictive constraints on g . See their Figs. 2 and 3 for results.
- ³ HOEDL 11 use a novel torsion pendulum to study the force by the polarized electrons of an external magnet. In their Fig. 3 they show restrictive limits on g in the approximate m_{A^0} range 0.03–10 meV.
- ⁴ PETUKHOV 10 use spin relaxation of polarized ${}^3\text{He}$ and find $g < 3 \times 10^{-23} (\text{cm}/\lambda)^2$ at 95% CL for the force range $\lambda = 10^{-4}$ –1 cm.
- ⁵ SEREBROV 10 use spin precession of ultracold neutrons close to bulk matter and find $g < 2 \times 10^{-21} (\text{cm}/\lambda)^2$ at 95% CL for the force range $\lambda = 10^{-4}$ –1 cm.
- ⁶ IGNATOVICH 09 use data on depolarization of ultracold neutrons in material traps. They show λ -dependent limits in their Fig. 1.
- ⁷ SEREBROV 09 uses data on depolarization of ultracold neutrons stored in material traps and finds $g < 2.96 \times 10^{-21} (\text{cm}/\lambda)^2$ for the force range $\lambda = 10^{-3}$ –1 cm and

NODE=S029IAN

NODE=S029IAN;LINKAGE=BI

NODE=S029IAN;LINKAGE=BN

NODE=S029IAN;LINKAGE=DN

NODE=S029IAN;LINKAGE=BE

NODE=S029IAN;LINKAGE=AD

NODE=S029IAT

NODE=S029IAT

NODE=S029IAT

NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=CH

NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=RA

NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=HO

NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=PE

NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=SR

NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=IG

NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=SE

- $g < 3.9 \times 10^{-22} (\text{cm}/\lambda)^2$ for $\lambda = 10^{-4}\text{--}10^{-3}$ cm, each time at 95% CL, significantly improving on BAESSLER 07.
- 8 BAESSLER 07 use the observation of quantum states of ultracold neutrons in the Earth's gravitational field to constrain g for an interaction range 1 μm –a few mm. See their Fig. 3 for results.
- 9 HECKEL 06 studied the influence of unpolarized bulk matter, including the laboratory's surroundings or the Sun, on a torsion pendulum containing about 9×10^{22} polarized electrons. See their Fig. 4 for limits on g as a function of interaction range.
- 10 NI 99 searched for a T -violating medium-range force acting on paramagnetic Tb F₃ salt. See their Fig. 1 for the result.
- 11 POSPELOV 98 studied the possible contribution of T -violating Medium-Range Force to the neutron electric dipole moment, which is possible when axion interactions violate CP . The size of the force among nucleons must be smaller than gravity by a factor of 2×10^{-10} (1 cm/ λ_A), where $\lambda_A = \hbar/m_A c$.
- 12 YOUDIN 96 compared the precession frequencies of atomic ¹⁹⁹Hg and Cs when a large mass is positioned near the cells, relative to an applied magnetic field. See Fig. 3 for their limits.
- 13 RITTER 93 studied the influence of bulk mass with polarized electrons on an unpolarized torsion pendulum, providing limits in the interaction range from 1 to 100 cm.
- 14 VENEMA 92 looked for an effect of Earth's gravity on nuclear spin-precession frequencies of ¹⁹⁹Hg and ²⁰¹Hg atoms.
- 15 WINELAND 91 looked for an effect of bulk matter with aligned electron spins on atomic hyperfine resonances in stored ⁹Be⁺ ions using nuclear magnetic resonance.

REFERENCES FOR Searches for Axions (A^0) and Other Very Light Bosons

CHU	13	PR D87 011105	P.-H. Chu <i>et al.</i>	(DUKE, IND, SJTU)	NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=BA
BELLI	12	PL B711 41	P. Belli <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA-KIEV)	NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=HE
BELLINI	12B	PR D85 092003	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino Collab.)	NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=NI
CADAMURO	12	JCAP 1202 032	D. Cadamuro <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)	NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=B
CORSICO	12	JCAP 1212 010	A.H. Corsico <i>et al.</i>	(LAPL, RGSL, WASH+)	
DERBIN	12	JETPL 95 339	A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)	
		Translated from ZETFP 95 379.			
GANDO	12	PR C86 021601	A. Gando <i>et al.</i>	(KamLAND-Zen Collab.)	NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=A
GNINENKO	12A	PR D85 055027	S.N. Gninenko	(INRMM)	NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=RI
GNINENKO	12B	PL B713 244	S.N. Gninenko	(INRMM)	NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=VE
PAYEZ	12	JCAP 1207 041	A. Payez <i>et al.</i>	(LIEG)	NODE=S029IAT;LINKAGE=WE
RAFFELT	12	PR D86 015001	G. Raffelt	(MPIM)	
AALSETH	11	PR D 106 131301	C.E. Aalseth <i>et al.</i>	(CoGeNT Collab.)	
ARIK	11	PRL 107 261302	M. Arik <i>et al.</i>	(CAST Collab.)	
ARNOLD	11	PRL 107 062504	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO-3 Collab.)	
CADAMURO	11	JCAP 1102 003	D. Cadamuro <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, AARHUS)	
DERBIN	11	PAN 74 596	A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)	
		Translated from YAF 74 620.			
DERBIN	11A	PR D83 023505	A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)	NODE=S029
HOEDL	11	PRL 106 041801	S.A. Hoedl <i>et al.</i>	(WASH)	REFID=54923
HOSKINS	11	PR D84 121302	J. Hoskins <i>et al.</i>	(ADMX Collab.)	REFID=54165
ANDRIAMON...	10	JCAP 1003 032	S. Andriamonje <i>et al.</i>	(CAST Collab.)	REFID=54406
ARGYRIADES	10	NP A847 168	J. Argyriades <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO-3 Collab.)	REFID=54443
ASZTALOS	10	PRL 104 041301	S.J. Asztalos <i>et al.</i>	(ADMX Collab.)	REFID=54815
EHRET	10	PL B689 149	K. Ehret <i>et al.</i>	(ALPS Collab.)	REFID=54141
HANNESTAD	10	JCAP 1008 001	S. Hannestad <i>et al.</i>		REFID=54339
PETUKHOV	10	PRL 105 170401	A.K. Petukhov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=54399
SERE BROV	10	JETPL 91 6	A. Serebrov <i>et al.</i>		REFID=54973
		Translated from ZETFP 91 8.			REFID=54453
AHMED	09A	PR D 103 141802	Z. Ahmed <i>et al.</i>	(CDMS Collab.)	REFID=54503
ANDRIAMON...	09	JCAP 0912 002	S. Andriamonje <i>et al.</i>		REFID=53685
ARGYRIADES	09	PR C80 032501	J. Argyriades <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO-3 Collab.)	REFID=53999
ARIK	09	JCAP 0902 008	E. Arik <i>et al.</i>	(CAST Collab.)	REFID=53377
CHOU	09	PRL 102 030402	A.S. Chou <i>et al.</i>	(GammeV Collab.)	REFID=53491
DAVOUDIASL	09	PR D79 095024	H. Davoudiasl, P. Huber		REFID=53261
DERBIN	09A	PL B678 181	A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>		REFID=53292
GONDOLO	09	PR D79 107301	P. Gondolo, G. Raffelt	(UTAH, MPIM)	REFID=53511
IGNATOVICH	09	EPJ C64 19	V.K. Ignatovich, Y.N. Pokotilovski	(JINR)	REFID=53676
KEKEZ	09	PL B671 345	D. Kekez <i>et al.</i>		REFID=53242
SERE BROV	09	PL B680 423	A. Serebrov	(PNPI)	REFID=53030
		Translated from ZETFP 91 8.	C.E. Aalseth <i>et al.</i>	REFID=53169	
AALSETH	08	PRL 101 251301	C.E. Aalseth <i>et al.</i>	(CoGeNT Collab.)	REFID=53053
AFANASEV	08	PRL 101 120401	A. Afanasev <i>et al.</i>	(CoGeNT Collab.)	REFID=52692
BELLINI	08	EPJ C54 61	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino Collab.)	REFID=52874
CHOU	08	PRL 100 080402	A.S. Chou <i>et al.</i>	(GammeV Collab.)	REFID=52812
FOUCHE	08	PR D78 032013	M. Fouche <i>et al.</i>		REFID=52913
HANNESTAD	08	JCAP 0804 019	S. Hannestad <i>et al.</i>		REFID=52816
INOUE	08	PL B668 93	Y. Inoue <i>et al.</i>		REFID=53157
ZAVATTINI	08	PR D77 032006	E. Zavattini <i>et al.</i>	(PVLAS Collab.)	REFID=52619
ADELBERGER	07	PR D 98 131104	E.G. Adelberger <i>et al.</i>		REFID=53095
ANDRIAMON...	07	JCAP 0704 010	S. Andriamonje <i>et al.</i>	(CAST Collab.)	REFID=53169
BAESSLER	07	PR D75 075006	S. Baessler <i>et al.</i>		REFID=53053
CHANG	07	PR D75 052004	H.M. Chang <i>et al.</i>	(TEXONO Collab.)	REFID=52692
HANNESTAD	07	JCAP 0708 015	S. Hannestad <i>et al.</i>		REFID=52874
JAIN	07	JPG 34 129	P.L. Jain, G. Singh		REFID=53172
LESSA	07	PR D75 094001	A.P. Lessa, O.L.G. Peres		REFID=52221
MELCHIORRI	07A	PR D76 041303	A. Melchiorri, O. Mena, A. Slosar		REFID=52208
ROBILLIARD	07	PRL 99 190403	C. Robilliard <i>et al.</i>		REFID=52421
ARNOLD	06	NP A765 483	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO-3 Collab.)	REFID=52220
DUFFY	06	PR D74 012006	L.D. Duffy <i>et al.</i>		REFID=52468
HECKEL	06	PRL 97 021603	B.R. Heckel <i>et al.</i>		REFID=52207
ZAVATTINI	06	PRL 96 110406	E. Zavattini <i>et al.</i>	(PVLAS Collab.)	REFID=51805
HANNESTAD	05A	JCAP 0507 002	S. Hannestad, A. Mirizzi, G. Raffelt		REFID=51689
ZIOUTAS	05	PRL 94 121301	K. Zioutas <i>et al.</i>	(CAST Collab.)	REFID=51786
ADLER	04	PR D70 037102	S. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)	REFID=51690
ANISIMOVSK...	04	PRL 93 031801	V.V. Anisimovsky <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E949 Collab.)	REFID=52174
ARNOLD	04	JETPL 80 377	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO3 Detector Collab.)	REFID=51531
		Translated from ZETFP 80 429.			REFID=51727

ASZTALOS	04	PR D69 011101	S.J. Asztalos <i>et al.</i>	REFID=49852
ARNABOLDI	03	PL B557 167	C. Arnaboldi <i>et al.</i>	REFID=49258
CIVITARESE	03	NP A729 867	O. Civitarese, J. Suhonen	REFID=49794
DANEVICH	03	PR C68 035501	F.A. Danevich <i>et al.</i>	REFID=49600
ADLER	02C	PL B537 211	S. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.) REFID=48768
BADERT...	02	PL B542 29	A. Badertscher <i>et al.</i>	REFID=48779
BERNABEI	02D	PL B546 23	R. Bernabei <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.) REFID=48990
DERBIN	02	PAN 65 1302	A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	REFID=48786
		Translated from YAF 65	1335.	
FUSHIMI	02	PL B531 190	K. Fushimi <i>et al.</i>	(ELEGANT V Collab.) REFID=48721
INOUE	02	PL B536 18	Y. Inoue <i>et al.</i>	REFID=48693
MORALES	02B	ASP 16 325	A. Morales <i>et al.</i>	REFID=49038
ADLER	01	PR D63 032004	S. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.) REFID=48050
AMMAR	01B	PRL 87 271801	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.) REFID=48534
ASHITKOV	01	JETPL 74 529	V.D. Ashitkov <i>et al.</i>	REFID=48730
		Translated from ZETFP 74	601.	
BERNABEI	01B	PL B515 6	R. Bernabei <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.) REFID=48278
DANEVICH	01	NP A694 375	F.A. Danevich <i>et al.</i>	REFID=48113
DEBOER	01	JPG 27 L29	F.W.N. de Boer <i>et al.</i>	REFID=48162
STOICA	01	NP A694 269	S. Stoica, H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothous	REFID=50526
ALESSAND...	00	PL B486 13	A. Alessandrello <i>et al.</i>	REFID=47726
ARNOLD	00	NP A678 341	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	REFID=47804
ASTIER	00B	PL B479 371	P. Astier <i>et al.</i>	(NOMAD Collab.) REFID=47622
DANEVICH	00	PR C62 045501	F.A. Danevich <i>et al.</i>	REFID=47810
MASSO	00	PR D61 011701	E. Masso	REFID=47338
ARNOLD	99	NP A658 299	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO Collab.) REFID=47325
NI	99	PRL 82 2439	W.-T. Ni <i>et al.</i>	REFID=46981
SIMKOVIC	99	PR C60 055502	F. Simkovic <i>et al.</i>	REFID=50525
ALTEGOER	98	PL B428 197	J. Altegoer <i>et al.</i>	REFID=46060
ARNOLD	98	NP A636 209	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	REFID=49250
AVIGNONE	98	PRL 81 5068	F.T. Avignone <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO-2 Collab.) (Solar Axion Experiment) REFID=46496
DIAZ	98	NP B527 44	M.A. Diaz <i>et al.</i>	REFID=46174
FAESSLER	98B	JPG 24 2139	A. Faessler, F. Simkovic	REFID=46455
KIM	98	PR D58 055006	J.E. Kim	REFID=46205
LUESCHER	98	PL B434 407	R. Luescher <i>et al.</i>	REFID=46132
MORIYAMA	98	PL B434 147	S. Moriyama <i>et al.</i>	REFID=46121
MOROI	98	PL B440 69	T. Moroi, H. Murayama	REFID=46514
POSPELOV	98	PR D58 097703	M. Pospelov	REFID=46487
ZUBER	98	PRPL 305 295	K. Zuber	REFID=46497
AHMAD	97	PRL 78 618	I. Ahmad <i>et al.</i>	(APEX Collab.) (MOSU) REFID=45229
BORISOV	97	JETP 83 868	A.V. Borisov, V.Y. Grishinina	REFID=45358
DEBOER	97C	JPG 23 185	F.W.N. de Boer <i>et al.</i>	REFID=45706
KACHELRIESS	97	PR D56 1313	M. Kachelriess, C. Wilke, G. Wunner	(BOCH) REFID=45544
KEIL	97	PR D56 2419	W. Keil <i>et al.</i>	REFID=45607
KITCHING	97	PRL 79 4079	P. Kitching <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.) REFID=45721
LEINBERGER	97	PL B394 16	U. Leinberger <i>et al.</i>	(ORANGE Collab.) REFID=45255
ADLER	96	PRL 76 1421	S. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.) REFID=44723
AMSLER	96B	ZPHY C70 219	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.) (GSI, HEID, FRAN, JAGL+) REFID=44819
GANZ	96	PL B389 4	R. Ganz <i>et al.</i>	(MPIH, SASSO) REFID=44964
GUENTHER	96	PR D54 3641	M. Gunther <i>et al.</i>	REFID=44940
KAMEL	96	PL B368 291	S. Kamel	(SHAMS) REFID=44827
MITSUI	96	EPL 33 111	T. Mitsui <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY) REFID=44765
YODUDIN	96	PRL 77 2170	A.N. Youdin <i>et al.</i>	(AMHT, WASH) REFID=44925
ALTMANN	95	ZPHY C68 221	M. Altmann <i>et al.</i>	(MUNT, LAPP, CPPM) REFID=44463
BASSOMPIE...	95	PL B355 584	G. Bassompierre <i>et al.</i>	(LAPP, LCGT, LYON) REFID=44362
MAENO	95	PL B351 574	T. Maeno <i>et al.</i>	REFID=44226
RAFFELT	95	PR D51 1495	G. Raffelt, A. Weiss	(MPIM, MPIA) REFID=44144
SKALSEY	95	PR D51 6292	M. Skalsey, R.S. Conti	(MICH) REFID=44265
TSUNODA	95	EPL 30 273	T. Tsunoda <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY) REFID=44251
ADACHI	94	PR A49 3201	S. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(TMU) REFID=44592
ALTHERR	94	ASP 2 175	T. Altherr, E. Petitgirard, T. del Rio Gaztelurrutia	REFID=44668;ERROR=1
AMSLER	94B	PL B333 271	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.) REFID=43903
ASAI	94	PL B323 90	S. Asai <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY) REFID=43887
MEIJERDREES	94	PR D49 4937	M.R. Drees <i>et al.</i>	(BRCO, OREG, TRIU) REFID=43858
NI	94	Physica B194 153	W.T. Ni <i>et al.</i>	(NTHU) REFID=44731
VO	94	PR C49 1551	D.T. Vo <i>et al.</i>	(ISU, LBL, LLNL, UCD) REFID=43978
ATIYA	93	PRL 70 2521	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.) REFID=43262
Also		PRL 71 305 (erratum)	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	REFID=43331
ATIYA	93B	PR D48 R1	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.) REFID=43335
BASSOMPIE...	93	EPL 22 239	G. Bassompierre <i>et al.</i>	(LAPP, TORI, LYON) REFID=4335
BECK	93	PRL 70 2853	M. Beck <i>et al.</i>	(MPIH, KIAE, SASSO) REFID=43358
CAMERON	93	PR D47 3707	R.E. Cameron <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, BNL, FNAL+) REFID=43375
CHANG	93	PL B316 51	S. Chang, K. Choi	REFID=46821
CHUI	93	PRL 71 3247	T.C.P. Chui, W.T. Ni	(NTHU) REFID=44687
MINOWA	93	PRL 71 4120	M. Minowa <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY) REFID=43643
NG	93	PR D48 2941	K.W. Ng	(AST) REFID=43529
RTTER	93	PRL 70 701	R.C. Ritter <i>et al.</i>	REFID=47480
TANAKA	93	PR D48 5412	J. Tanaka, H. Ejiri	(OSAK) REFID=43634
ALLIEGRO	92	PRL 68 278	C. Alliegro <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FNAL, PSI+) REFID=41961
ATIYA	92	PRL 69 733	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, LANL, PRIN+) REFID=42140
BERNATOW...	92	PRL 69 2341	T. Bernatowicz <i>et al.</i>	(WUSL, TATA) REFID=42181
BLUERMlein	92	IJMP A7 3835	J. Blumlein <i>et al.</i>	(BERL, BUDA, JINR+) REFID=42088
HALLIN	92	PR D45 3955	A.L. Hallin <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN) REFID=42069
HENDERSON	92C	PRL 69 1733	S.D. Henderson <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, BNL) REFID=42184
HICKS	92	PL B276 423	K.H. Hicks, D.E. Alburger	(OHIO, BNL) REFID=41982
LAZARUS	92	PRL 69 2333	D.M. Lazarus <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, ROCH, FNAL) REFID=42180
MEIJERDREES	92	PR L 68 3845	R. Meijer Drees <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM I Collab.) REFID=42044
PAN	92	MPL A7 1287	S.S. Pan, W.T. Ni, S.C. Chen	(NTHU) REFID=44686
RUOSO	92	ZPHY C56 505	G. Ruoso <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, BNL, FNAL, TRST) REFID=43108
SKALSEY	92	PRL 68 456	M. Skalsey, J.J. Kolata	(MICH, NDAM) REFID=41962
VENEMA	92	PRL 68 135	B.J. Venema <i>et al.</i>	REFID=47479
WANG	92	MPL A7 1497	J. Wang	(ILL) REFID=42094
WANG	92C	PL B291 97	J. Wang	(ILL) REFID=42215
WU	92	PRL 69 1729	X.Y. Wu <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, YALE, CUNY) REFID=42183
AKOPYAN	91	PL B272 443	M.V. Akopyan <i>et al.</i>	(INRM) REFID=41973
ASAI	91	PRL 66 2440	S. Asai <i>et al.</i>	(ICEPP) REFID=41480
BERSHADY	91	PRL 66 1398	M.A. Bershaday, M.T. Ressell, M.S. Turner	(CHIC+) REFID=41472
BLUERMlein	91	ZPHY C51 341	J. Blumlein <i>et al.</i>	(BERL, BUDA, JINR+) REFID=41600
BOBRAKOV	91	JETPL 53 294	V.F. Bobrakov <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI) REFID=41511
		Translated from ZETFP 53	283.	

BROSS	91	PRL 67 2942	A.D. Bross <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, ILL)	REFID=41759
KIM	91C	PRL 67 3465	J.E. Kim	(SEOUL)	REFID=41958
RAFFELT	91	PRPL 198 1	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)	REFID=41534
RAFFELT	91B	PRL 67 2605	G. Raffelt, D. Seckel	(MPIM, BART)	REFID=41790
RESSELL	91	PR D44 3001	M.T. Ressell	(CHIC, FNAL)	REFID=41728
TRZASKA	91	PL B269 54	W.H. Trzaska <i>et al.</i>	(TAMU)	REFID=41760
TSERTOS	91	PL B266 259	H. Tsertos <i>et al.</i>	(ILLG, GSI)	REFID=41618
WALKER	91	APJ 376 51	T.P. Walker <i>et al.</i>	(HSCA, OSU, CHIC+)	REFID=41879
WIDMANN	91	ZPHY A340 209	E. Widmann <i>et al.</i>	(STUT, GSI, STUTM)	REFID=43757
WINELAND	91	PRL 67 1735	D.J. Wineland <i>et al.</i>	(NBSB)	REFID=43767
ALBRECHT	90E	PL B246 278	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)	REFID=41335
ASANUMA	90	PL B237 588	T. Asanuma <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY)	REFID=41206
ATIYA	90	PRL 64 21	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)	REFID=40944
ATIYA	90B	PRL 65 1188	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)	REFID=41282
BAUER	90	NIM B50 300	W. Bauer <i>et al.</i>	(STUT, VILL, GSI)	REFID=41155
BURROWS	90	PR D42 3297	A. Burrows, M.T. Ressell, M.S. Turner	(ARIZ+)	REFID=41463
DEBOER	90	JPG 16 L1	F.W.N. de Boer, J. Lehmann, J. Steyaert	(LOUV)	REFID=41053
ENGEL	90	PRL 65 960	J. Engel, D. Seckel, A.C. Hayes	(BART, LANL)	REFID=41194
GNINENKO	90	PL B237 287	S.N. Gninenko <i>et al.</i>	(INRM)	REFID=41205
GUO	90	PR D41 2924	R. Guo <i>et al.</i>	(NIU, LANL, FNAL, CASE+)	REFID=41253
HAGMANN	90	PR D42 1297	C. Hagnmann <i>et al.</i>	(FLOR)	REFID=41264
JUDGE	90	PRL 65 972	S.M. Judge <i>et al.</i>	(ILLG, GSI)	REFID=41196
RAFFELT	90D	PR D41 1324	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)	REFID=41741
RITTER	90	PR D42 977	R.C. Ritter <i>et al.</i>	(UVA)	REFID=43769
SEMERTZIDIS	90	PRL 64 2988	Y.K. Semertzidis <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, BNL, FNAL+)	REFID=41189
TSUCHIAKI	90	PL B236 81	M. Tsuchiaki <i>et al.</i>	(ICEPP)	REFID=41199
TURNER	90	PRPL 197 67	M.S. Turner	(FNAL)	REFID=41476
BARABASH	89	PL B223 273	A.S. Barabash <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, INRM)	REFID=40819
BINI	89	PL B221 99	M. Bini <i>et al.</i>	(FIRZ, CERN, AARH)	REFID=40815
BURROWS	89	PR D39 1020	A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, R.P. Brinkmann	(ARIZ+)	REFID=41080
Also		PRL 60 1797	M.S. Turner	(FNAL, EFI)	REFID=40604
DEBOER	89B	PRL 62 2639	F.W.N. de Boer, R. van Dantzig	(ANIK)	REFID=40969
ERICSON	89	PL B219 507	T.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathiot	(CERN, IPN)	REFID=40811
FAISSNER	89	ZPHY C44 557	H. Faissner <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, PSI)	REFID=41050
FOX	89	PR C39 288	J.D. Fox <i>et al.</i>	(FSU)	REFID=41078
MAYLE	89	PL B219 515	R. Mayle <i>et al.</i>	(LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+)	REFID=40812
Also		PL B203 188	R. Mayle <i>et al.</i>	(LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+)	REFID=40635
MINOWA	89	PRL 62 1091	H. Minowa <i>et al.</i>	(ICEPP)	REFID=40781
ORITO	89	PRL 63 597	S. Orito <i>et al.</i>	(ICEPP)	REFID=41079
PERKINS	89	PRL 62 2638	D.H. Perkins	(OXF)	REFID=40970
TSERTOS	89	PR D40 1397	H. Tsertos <i>et al.</i>	(GSI, ILLG)	REFID=41076
VANBIBBER	89	PR D39 2089	K. van Bibber <i>et al.</i>	(LLL, TAMU, LBL)	REFID=43765
WUENSCH	89	PR D40 3153	W.U. Wunsch <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, BNL, FNAL)	REFID=40993
Also		PRL 59 839	S. de Panfilis <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, BNL, FNAL)	REFID=40463
AVIGNONE	88	PR D37 618	F.T. Avignone <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN, SCUC, ORNL+)	REFID=40666
BJORKEN	88	PR D38 3375	J.D. Bjorken <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, SLAC, NOVO)	REFID=40690
BLINOV	88	SJNP 47 563	A.E. Blinov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=40992
Translated from YAF 47 889.					
BOLTON	88	PR D38 2077	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, STAN, CHIC+)	REFID=40683
Also		PRL 56 2461	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, STAN, CHIC+)	REFID=10258
Also		PRL 57 3241	D. Grosnick <i>et al.</i>	(CHIC, LANL, STAN+)	REFID=40308
CHANDA	88	PR D37 2714	R. Chanda, J.F. Nieves, P.B. Pal	(UMD, UPR+)	REFID=40672
CHOI	88	PR D37 3225	K. Choi <i>et al.</i>	(JHU)	REFID=40674
CONNELL	88	PRL 60 2242	S.H. Connell <i>et al.</i>	(WITW)	REFID=41077
DATAR	88	PR C37 250	V.M. Datar <i>et al.</i>	(IPN)	REFID=41142
DEBOER	88	PRL 61 1274	F.W.N. de Boer, R. van Dantzig	(ANIK)	REFID=40618
Also		PRL 62 2644 (erratum)	F.W.N. de Boer, R. van Dantzig	(ANIK)	REFID=40968
Also		PRL 62 2638	D.H. Perkins	(OXF)	REFID=40970
Also		PRL 62 2639	F.W.N. de Boer, R. van Dantzig	(ANIK)	REFID=40969
DEBOER	88C	JPG 14 L131	F.W.N. de Boer <i>et al.</i>	(LOUV)	REFID=41066
DOEHRER	88	PR D38 2722	J. Dohner <i>et al.</i>	(HEIDP, ANL, ILLG)	REFID=40687
EL-NADI	88	PRL 61 1271	M. el Nadi, O.E. Badawy	(CAIR)	REFID=40617
ENGEL	88	PR C37 731	J. Engel, P. Vogel, M.R. Zirnbauer		REFID=41802
FAISSNER	88	ZPHY C37 231	H. Faissner <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, SIN)	REFID=40852
HATSUDA	88B	PL B203 469	T. Hatsuda, M. Yoshimura	(KEK)	REFID=40639
LORENZ	88	PL B214 10	E. Lorenz <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, PSI)	REFID=41073
MAYLE	88	PL B203 188	R. Mayle <i>et al.</i>	(LLL, CERN, MINN, FNAL+)	REFID=40635
PICCIOOTTO	88	PR D37 1131	C.E. Picciotto <i>et al.</i>	(TRIU, CNRC)	REFID=40667
RAFFELT	88	PRL 60 1793	G. Raffelt, D. Seckel	(UCB, LLL, UCSC)	REFID=40603
RAFFELT	88B	PR D37 549	G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn	(UCB, LLL)	REFID=40663
SAVAGE	88	PR D37 1134	M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.W. Mitchell	(CIT)	REFID=40543
TSERTOS	88	PL B207 273	A. Tsertos <i>et al.</i>	(GSI, ILLG)	REFID=41074
TSERTOS	88B	ZPHY A331 103	A. Tsertos <i>et al.</i>	(GSI, ILLG)	REFID=41075
VANKLINKEN	88	PL B205 223	J. van Klinken <i>et al.</i>	(GRON, GSI)	REFID=41072
VANKLINKEN	88B	PRL 60 2442	J. van Klinken	(GRON)	REFID=41070
VONWIMMER..	88	PRL 60 2443	U. von Wimmersperg	(BNL)	REFID=41071
VOROBIOV	88	PL B208 146	P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitars	(NOVO)	REFID=43768
DRUZHININ	87	ZPHY C37 1	V.P. Druzhinin <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)	REFID=40448
FRIEMAN	87	PR D36 2201	J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos, M.S. Turner	(SLAC+)	REFID=40878
GOLDMAN	87	PR D36 1543	T. Goldman <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, CHIC, STAN+)	REFID=40460
KORENCHEN...	87	SJNP 46 192	S.M. Korenchenko <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)	REFID=40461
Translated from YAF 46 313.					
MAIER	87	ZPHY A326 527	K. Maier <i>et al.</i>	(STUT, GSI)	REFID=41067
MILLS	87	PR D36 707	A.P. Mills, J. Levy	(BELL)	REFID=40174
RAFFELT	87	PR D36 2211	G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn	(LLL, UCB)	REFID=40877
RIORDAN	87	PRL 59 755	E.M. Riordan <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, CIT+)	REFID=40170
TURNER	87	PRL 59 2489	M.S. Turner	(FNAL, EFI)	REFID=40876
VANBIBBER	87	PRL 59 759	K. van Bibber <i>et al.</i>	(LLL, CIT, MIT+)	REFID=43766
VONWIMMER..	87	PRL 59 266	U. von Wimmersperg <i>et al.</i>	(WITW)	REFID=41069
BADIER	86	ZPHY C31 21	J. Badier <i>et al.</i>	(NA3 Collab.)	REFID=10622
BROWN	86	PRL 57 2101	C.N. Brown <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, WASH, KYOT+)	REFID=40165
BRYMAN	86B	PRL 57 2787	D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford	(TRIU)	REFID=40167
DAVIER	86	PL B180 295	M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen Ngoc	(LALO)	REFID=40152
DEARBORN	86	PRL 56 26	D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman	(LLL+)	REFID=40881
EICHLER	86	PL B175 101	R.A. Eichler <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM Collab.)	REFID=40134
HALLIN	86	PRL 57 2105	A.L. Hallin <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN)	REFID=40166
JODIDIO	86	PR D34 1967	A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)	REFID=40309
Also		PR D37 237 (erratum)	A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)	REFID=40467
KETOV	86	JETPL 44 146	S.N. Ketov <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)	REFID=40176
Translated from ZETFP 44 114.					

KOCH	86	NC 96A 182	H.R. Koch, O.W.B. Schult	(JULI)	REFID=40175
KONAKA	86	PRL 57 659	A. Konaka <i>et al.</i>	(KYOT, KEK)	REFID=40164
MAIANI	86	PL B175 359	L. Maiani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattini	(CERN)	REFID=41633
PECCEI	86	PL B172 435	R.D. Peccei, T.T. Wu, T. Yanagida	(DESY)	REFID=40209
RAFFELT	86	PR D33 897	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)	REFID=40880
RAFFELT	86B	PL 166B 402	G.G. Raffelt	(MPI)	REFID=40879
SAVAGE	86B	PRL 57 178	M.J. Savage <i>et al.</i>	(CIT)	REFID=40138
AMALDI	85	PL 153B 444	U. Amaldi <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)	REFID=40991
ANANEV	85	SJNP 41 585	V.D. Ananov <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)	REFID=12490
		Translated from YAF 41 912.			
BALTRUSAIT...	85	PRL 55 1842	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)	REFID=10341
BERGSMA	85	PL 157B 458	F. Bergsma <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM Collab.)	REFID=12491
KAPLAN	85	NP B260 215	D.B. Kaplan	(HARV)	REFID=40883
IWAMOTO	84	PRL 53 1198	N. Iwamoto	(UCSB, WUSL)	REFID=40884
YAMAZAKI	84	PRL 52 1089	T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i>	(INUS, KEK)	REFID=12489
ABBOTT	83	PL 120B 133	L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie	(BRAN, FLOR)	REFID=40886
CARBONI	83	PL 123B 349	G. Carboni, W. Dahme	(CERN, MUNI)	REFID=12482
CAVAIGNAC	83	PL 121B 193	J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i>	(ISNG, LAPP)	REFID=12483
DICUS	83	PR D28 1778	D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz	(TEXA, UMD)	REFID=12642
DINE	83	PL 120B 137	M. Dine, W. Fischler	(IAS, PENN)	REFID=40885
ELLIS	83B	NP B223 252	J. Ellis, K.A. Olive	(CERN)	REFID=40888
FAISSNER	83	PR D28 1198	H. Faissner <i>et al.</i>	(AACH)	REFID=12484
FAISSNER	83B	PR D28 1787	H. Faissner <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3)	REFID=12485
FRANK	83B	PR D28 1790	J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, YALE, LBL+)	REFID=12486
HOFFMAN	83	PR D28 660	C.M. Hoffman <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, ARZS)	REFID=12487
PRESKILL	83	PL 120B 127	J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek	(HARV, UCSBT)	REFID=40887
SIKIVIE	83	PRL 51 1415	P. Sikivie	(FLOR)	REFID=40907
Also		PRL 52 695 (erratum)	P. Sikivie	(FLOR)	REFID=40908
ALEKSEEV	82	JETP 55 591	E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)	REFID=20130
		Translated from ZETF 82 1007.			
ALEKSEEV	82B	JETPL 36 116	G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>	(MOSU, JINR)	REFID=12472
		Translated from ZETFP 36 94.			
ASANO	82	PL 113B 195	Y. Asano <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, TOKY, INUS, OSAK)	REFID=11013
BARROSO	82	PL 116B 247	A. Barroso, G.C. Branco	(LISB)	REFID=12474
DATAR	82	PL 114B 63	V.M. Datar <i>et al.</i>	(BHAB)	REFID=12475
EDWARDS	82	PRL 48 903	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)	REFID=12476
FETSCHER	82	JPG 8 L147	W. Fetscher	(ETH)	REFID=12477
FUKUGITA	82	PRL 48 1522	M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yoshimura	(KEK)	REFID=40890
FUKUGITA	82B	PR D26 1840	M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yoshimura	(KEK)	REFID=40889
LEHMANN	82	PL 115B 270	P. Lehmann <i>et al.</i>	(SACL)	REFID=12478
RAFFELT	82	PL 119B 323	G. Raffelt, L. Stodolsky	(MPIM)	REFID=40185
ZEHNDER	82	PL 110B 419	A. Zehnder, K. Gabathuler, J.L. Vuilleumier	(ETH+)	REFID=12480
ASANO	81B	PL 107B 159	Y. Asano <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, TOKY, INUS, OSAK)	REFID=11008
BARROSO	81	PL 106B 91	A. Barroso, N.C. Mukhopadhyay	(SIN)	REFID=40112
FAISSNER	81	ZPHY C10 95	H. Faissner <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3)	REFID=12467
FAISSNER	81B	PL 103B 234	H. Faissner <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3)	REFID=12468
KIM	81	PL 105B 55	B.R. Kim, C. Stamm	(AACH3)	REFID=12469
VUILLEMIER	81	PL 101B 341	J.L. Vuilleumier <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, MUNI)	REFID=12470
ZEHNDER	81	PL 104B 494	A. Zehnder	(ETH)	REFID=12471
FAISSNER	80	PL 96B 201	H. Faissner <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3)	REFID=12463
JACQUES	80	PR D21 1206	P.F. Jacques <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, STEV, COLU)	REFID=12464
SOUKAS	80	PRL 44 564	A. Soukas <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, HARV, ORNL, PENN)	REFID=12465
BECHIS	79	PRL 42 1511	D.J. Bechis <i>et al.</i>	(UMD, COLU, AFRR)	REFID=12458
CALAPRICE	79	PR D20 2708	F.P. Calaprice <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN)	REFID=12459
COTEUS	79	PRL 42 1438	P. Coteus <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, ILL, BNL)	REFID=12460
DISHAW	79	PL 85B 142	J.P. Dishaw <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CIT)	REFID=12461
ZHITNITSKII	79	SJNP 29 517	A.R. Zhitnitsky, Y.I. Skovpen	(NOVO)	REFID=12462
		Translated from YAF 29 1001.			
ALIBRAN	78	PL 74B 134	P. Alibran <i>et al.</i>	(Gargamelle Collab.)	REFID=12448
ASRATYAN	78B	PL 79B 497	A.E. Asratyan <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, SERP)	REFID=12449
BELLOTTI	78	PL 76B 223	E. Bellotti, E. Fiorini, L. Zanotti	(MILA)	REFID=12450
BOSETTI	78B	PL 74B 143	P.C. Bosetti <i>et al.</i>	(BEBC Collab.)	REFID=12451
DICUS	78C	PR D18 1829	D.A. Dicus <i>et al.</i>	(TEXA, VPI, STAN)	REFID=40184
DONNELLY	78	PR D18 1607	T.W. Donnelly <i>et al.</i>	(STAN)	REFID=12452
Also		PRL 37 315	F. Reines, H.S. Gurr, H.W. Sobel	(UCI)	REFID=12453
Also		PRL 33 179	H.S. Gurr, F. Reines, H.W. Sobel	(UCI)	REFID=12454
HANSI	78D	PL 74B 139	T. Hansi <i>et al.</i>	(CDHS Collab.)	REFID=12455
MICELMAC...	78	LNC 21 441	G.V. Mitselman, B. Pontecorvo	(JINR)	REFID=12456
MIKAELIAN	78	PR D18 3605	K.O. Mikaelian	(FNAL, NWES)	REFID=40893
SATO	78	PTP 60 1942	K. Sato	(KYOT)	REFID=40892
VYSOTSKII	78	JETPL 27 502	M.I. Vysotsky <i>et al.</i>	(ASCI)	REFID=12457
		Translated from ZETFP 27 533.			
YANG	78	PRL 41 523	T.C. Yang	(MASA)	REFID=40525
PECCEI	77	PR D16 1791	R.D. Peccei, H.R. Quinn	(STAN, SLAC)	REFID=40106
Also		PRL 38 1440	R.D. Peccei, H.R. Quinn	(STAN, SLAC)	REFID=40107
REINES	76	PRL 37 315	F. Reines, H.S. Gurr, H.W. Sobel	(UCI)	REFID=12453
GURR	74	PRL 33 179	H.S. Gurr, F. Reines, H.W. Sobel	(UCI)	REFID=12454
ANAND	53	PRSL A22 183	B.M. Anand	(FNAL)	REFID=41166

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

SREDNICKI	85	NP B260 689	M. Srednicki	(UCSB)	REFID=40882
BARDEEN	78	PL 74B 229	W.A. Bardeen, S.-H.H. Tye	(FNAL)	REFID=40891
